

On the Cohomology of the May Complex IV

Dedicated to Professor Masahiro Sugawara on his 60th birthday

Osamu NAKAMURA

Faculty of Education

Let X be the May complex derived from the May spectral sequence for $Ext_{P_*}^{*,*}(Z_2, Q_*)$ which is the E_2 -term of the algebraic Novikov spectral sequence. This is a differential algebra $Z_2 [R_j^i, S_k | i \geq 0, j \geq 1, k \geq 0]$ with differential d given by

a). d is a derivation,

$$b). d(R_j^i) = \sum_{1 \leq a \leq j-1} R_a^i R_{j-a}^{i+1} \text{ and } d(S_k) = \sum_{0 \leq a \leq k-1} S_a R_{k-a}^1.$$

The original one is the differential subalgebra $Y = Z_2 [R_j^i | i \geq 0, j \geq 1]$ of X . The cohomology of Y , $H^*(Y)$, is partially calculated in [4 : Theorem II. 5. 18] and $H^*(X)$ is partially calculated in [5 : Theorem 18].

The purpose of this paper is to extend the calculations of the defining relations given in [4 : Theorem II. 5. 18] and in [5 : Theorem 18] and to give more simple proofs of [6 : Theorem 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1] and [7 : Theorem 2, 3, 4, 5]. This is done to define *bracket* (S, N) which is a polynomial in X and to evaluate d *bracket* (S, N) . The bracket defined in this paper and the one defined in [7 : Definition 1] differ but both are generalizations of bracket defined in [6 : Definition 1.1].

In section 1, we shall give the definition of *bracket* (S, N) and discuss the properties of this bracket. In section 2, we shall determine the differential of *bracket* (S, N) and in section 3, we shall apply these results to our defining relations. We used a 16-bit small computer HITACHI MB 16001 to conjugate and check the formulas. The language is BASIC. We also have a C program for a mini-computer Toshiba UX 700. The main results are Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, ..., 3.25.

1. Brackets

In this section we shall define *bracket* (S, N) which is some polynomial in May complex X and discuss its properties.

We first give some notational explanations. Let $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k$ be a finite sequence of non-negative integers. We call this list in this paper and denote it as $[n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k]$. We also use the expressions in $[n_1 | [n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k]]$, $[n_1, n_2 | [n_3, \dots, n_k]]$, ..., $[n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k | []]$ to show this list. The empty list is denoted as $[]$. These notations are commonly used in Prolog [2].

Let L and S be lists and x and y be integers.

The meaning of the expression $x \in L$ is defined as follow:

$$x \in [x | L],$$

if $x \neq y$ then $x \in [y | L]$ if and only if $x \in L$.

In this case we call x an item of L . We define $rest(L, x)$ and $rest(L, S)$ to be the list given by removing, respectively, all x and all items contained in S from L :

$$rest([], x) = [],$$

$$rest([x | L], x) = rest(L, x),$$

$$rest([y | L], x) = [y | rest(L, x)] \text{ if } x \neq y,$$

$$rest(L, []) = L,$$

$$rest(L, [x | S]) = rest(rest(L, x), S),$$

and $del(L, x)$ and $del(L, S)$ to be the list given by removing, respectively, one x and each item contained in S from L :

$$del([], x) = [],$$

$$del([x | L], x) = L,$$

$$del([y | L], x) = [y | del(L, x)] \text{ if } x \neq y,$$

$$del(L, []) = L,$$

$$del(L, [x | S]) = del(del(L, x), S),$$

and $set(L)$ to be the list which consists by removing the overlapped items from L :

$$set([]) = [],$$

$$set([x | S]) = [x | set(rest(S, x))],$$

and $\#L$ to be the length of L :

$$\#[] = 0,$$

$$\#[x | L] = \#L + 1,$$

and $ind(L, x)$ to be the number of x contained in L :

$$ind([], x) = 0,$$

$$ind([x | L], x) = ind(L, x) + 1,$$

$$ind([y | L], x) = ind(L, x) \text{ if } x \neq y,$$

and $item(L, x)$, $1 \leq x \leq \#L$, to be the x -th item of L :

$$item([y | L], 1) = y,$$

$$item([y | L], x) = item(L, x-1) \text{ if } x > 1,$$

and $itel(x, y)$ to be the list with length y consisted by x only:

$$itel(x, 0) = []$$

$$itel(x, y) = [x | itel(x, y-1)] \text{ if } y > 0,$$

and $L \cup S$ to be the list L followed by S :

$$[] \cup S = S,$$

$$[x | L] \cup S = [x | L \cup S],$$

and $L \cap S$ to be the list consisted by items contained in both lists:

$$[] \cap S = [],$$

$$[x | L] \cap S = [x | L \cap \text{del}(S, x)] \text{ if } x \in S,$$

$$[x | L] \cap S = L \cap S \text{ if } x \notin S.$$

The meaning of the expression $L \subset S$ is defined as follow:

$$[] \subset S,$$

$$[x | L] \subset S \text{ if and only if } x \in S \text{ and } L \subset \text{del}(S, x).$$

In this case we call L a sublist of S .

For the simplicity, we let R_j^i be 0 if j is less than or equal to 0. We generalize S_n and R_j^i to S_N , $R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda}$ and $R_{\lambda-A}^{\lambda}$, respectively. These are defined inductively as follow:

$$S_{[]} = 1,$$

$$S_{[a | A]} = S_a S_N,$$

$$R_{[-i]} = 1,$$

$$R_{[a | A]-i} = R_{a-i}^i R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda},$$

$$R_{[-]}^0 = 1,$$

$$R_{[a | a]}^a = R_{\lambda-a}^a R_{\lambda-A}^{\lambda}.$$

The brackets in [5 : Definition 1.1.] are generalized in the following form.

DEFINITION 1.1. For any two lists S and N of non-negative integers, we define *bracket* (S, N) in X inductively as follow:

$$\text{bracket}(S, N) = 0 \text{ if } \#S > \#N,$$

$$\text{bracket}([], N) = S_N,$$

$$\text{bracket}([s | T], N) = \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R_{\lambda-s}^{\lambda} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N, A)) \text{ if } S = [s | T].$$

In the above summation, A runs through all sublists, which differ under the permutations of A , of N with $\#A = \text{ind}(S, s)$. Since $R_{\lambda-s}^{\lambda} = R_{\lambda-s}^{\lambda}$ and $\text{del}(N, A') = \text{del}(N, A)$ for each permuted list A' of A , our definition is well-defined. We also define $\text{bracket}_{R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda} : \text{even}}(S, N)$, $\text{bracket}_{S_n : \text{even}}(S, N)$, $\text{bracket}_{R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda} : \text{odd}}(S, N)$ and $\text{bracket}_{S_n : \text{odd}}(S, N)$ to be the summations of the nomomials contained in $\text{bracket}(S, N)$ which contain even $R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda}$, even S_n , odd $R_{\lambda-i}^{\lambda}$ and odd S_n , respectively.

REMARK. By the above definition, we have that $S_n = \text{bracket}([], [k])$, $R_j^i = \text{bracket}([i, [i+j]])$, May's indecomposable element b_{ij} is represented by $\text{bracket}([i, i], [i+j, i+j])$ for

$i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 2$ and $h_i(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ is represented by *bracket* (S, N) , where $S = [i, i+n_1, i+n_2, \dots, i+n_k]$ ($S = [i]$ if $k=0$) and $N = \text{del}([i, i+1, i+2, \dots, i+2k+1], S)$, for $i \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$, $n_1 = 1$ and $n_{j-1} < n_j \leq 2j-1$ ($2 \leq j \leq k$). Similarly, another indecomposable element a_k is represented by *bracket* $([], [k, k])$ for $k \geq 1$ and $g(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is represented by *bracket* (S, N) , where $S = [n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k]$ ($S = []$ if $k=-1$) and $N = \text{del}([0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2], S)$, for $k \geq -1$, $n_0 = 0$ and $n_{j-1} < n_j \leq 2j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$).

LEMMA 1.1. *Let S and N be lists of non-negative integers and let S' and N' be the permuted lists of S and N , respectively. Then we have $\text{bracket}(S', N') = \text{bracket}(S, N)$.*

PROOF. We first prove $\text{bracket}(S, N') = \text{bracket}(S, N)$ by the induction on $\#N$. If $\#N = 0$ then the result is clear. So we assume $\#N > 0$. Since the symmetry group is generated by transposition $(i, i+1)$, we only prove in the case $N' = (i, i+1)N$. If $S = []$ then the result is clear. So let $S = [s | T]$ and $N = [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]$ with $n_i \neq n_{i+1}$. By the definition of *bracket*, we have

$$\text{bracket}(S, N) = \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^{A-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N, A))$$

and

$$\text{bracket}(S, N') = \sum_{\substack{B \subset N' \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^{B-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N', B)).$$

Here, the set of sublists A and set of sublists B are same up to permutation and if $n_i \in A$ or $n_{i+1} \in A$ then $\text{del}(N, A) = \text{del}(N', A)$ and if $n_i \notin A$ and $n_{i+1} \notin A$ then $\text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N, A)) = \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N', A))$ by the hypothesis of induction. Therefore we have $\text{bracket}(S, N) = \text{bracket}(S, N')$.

Next we prove $\text{bracket}(S', N) = \text{bracket}(S, N)$. This is also sufficient to show that the transpositions $(i, i+1)$ on S does not change brackets. We prove this by the induction on i .

If $\#S < 2$ then the result is clear. So we assume that $\#S \geq 2$. Let $S = [s_1, s_2 | T]$ and $S' = (1, 2)S$. If $s_1 = s_2$ then the result is clear. So we assume that $s_1 \neq s_2$. By the definition of *bracket* and the fact that $\text{rest}(\text{rest}(S, s_1), s_2) = \text{rest}(S, [s_1, s_2])$ and $\text{del}(\text{del}(N, A), B) = \text{del}(N, A \cup B)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bracket}(S, N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s_1)}} R^{A-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N, A)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s_1)}} R^{A-} \sum_{\substack{B \subset \text{del}(N, A) \\ \#B = \text{ind}(\text{rest}(S, s_1), s_2)}} R^{B-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, [s_1, s_2]), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{ind}(\text{rest}(S, s_1), s_2) = \text{ind}(S', s_2)$, $\text{ind}(S, s_1) = \text{ind}(\text{rest}(S', s_2), s_1)$ and $\text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S', [s_1, s_2]), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)) = \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S', [s_1, s_2]), \text{del}(N, B \cup A))$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{BCN \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S', s_2)}} R_{B-s_2}^{*2} \sum_{\substack{AC \text{ del}(N, B) \\ \#A = \text{ind}(\text{rest}(S', s_2), s_1)}} R_{A-s_1}^{*1} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S', [s_1, s_2]), \text{del}(N, B \cup A)) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{BCN \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S', s_2)}} R_{B-s_2}^{*2} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S', s_2), \text{del}(N, B)) \\
 &= \text{bracket}(S', N).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the transposition (1, 2) of S does not change the brackets. Next we assume that if $i < j$ ($j \geq 2$) then the transposition (i, i + 1) of S does not change the brackets. Let $S' = (j, j + 1)S$ and $S = [s | T]$ and $S' = [s | T']$. Then we have $T' = (j - 1, j)T$. By the definition of brackets, we have that

$$\text{bracket}(S, N) = \sum_{\substack{ACN \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R_{A-s}^{*-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T, s), \text{del}(N, A))$$

and

$$\text{bracket}(S', N) = \sum_{\substack{ACN \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S', s)}} R_{A-s}^{*-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T', s), \text{del}(N, A)).$$

Here we have that $\text{ind}(S, s) = \text{ind}(S', s)$. Let $t_{j-1} = \text{item}(T, j - 1)$ and $t_j = \text{item}(T, j)$. If $s = t_{j-1}$ or $s = t_j$, then $\text{rest}(T, s) = \text{rest}(T', s)$ and then $\text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T, s), \text{del}(N, A)) = \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T', s), \text{del}(N, A))$ else there exist an integer k with $k < j$ such that $\text{rest}(T', s) = (k - 1, k) \text{rest}(T, s)$ and therefore by the hypothesis of induction, we have that $\text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T, s), \text{del}(N, A)) = \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(T', s), \text{del}(N, A))$. Then we have the result.

LEMMA 1.2. Let S and N be lists of non-negative integers with $\#N \geq \#S$. Then we have the following expansion formulas.

i). $\text{bracket}(S, N)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{ACN \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R_{A-s}^{*-} \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, s), \text{del}(N, A))$$

for each item s of S.

ii). $\text{bracket}(S, N)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{ACN \\ \#A = \#N - \#S}} S_A \text{bracket}(S, \text{del}(N, A)).$$

iii). $\text{bracket}(S, N)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{ACS \\ \#A \leq \text{ind}(N, n)}} R_{n-A}^A S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \#A} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A), \text{rest}(N, n))$$

for each item n of N.

PROOF. i). This is easily induced from Lemma 1.1.

ii). This is proved by the induction on $\#N$. If $\#N = 0$ or $S = []$ then the result is clear. So we assume that $\#N > 0$ and $S = [s | T]$. By the definition of bracket, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bracket} ([s | T], N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^*_{A-s} \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (N, A)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\# \text{del} (N, A) < \# N$, we have, by the hypothesis of induction, that

$$= \sum_{\substack{A \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^*_{A-s} \sum_{\substack{B \subset \text{del}(N, A) \\ \#B = \# \text{del}(N, A) - \# \text{rest}(S, s)}} S_B \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (\text{del} (N, A), B)).$$

Since $\# \text{del} (N, A) = \# N - \# A$ and $\# \text{rest} (S, s) = \# S - \text{ind} (S, s)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ \#B = \#N - \#S}} R^*_{A-s} S_B \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (N, A \cup B)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \#N - \#S}} S_B \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(N, B) \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^*_{A-s} \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (\text{del} (N, B), A)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \#N - \#S}} S_B \text{bracket} (S, \text{del} (N, B)). \end{aligned}$$

iii). We shall prove the induction on $\# S$. Let $S = []$. Then we have that

$$\text{bracket} ([], N) = S_N = S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n)} S_{\text{rest}(N, n)} = S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n)} \text{bracket} ([], \text{rest} (N, n)).$$

Then the formula is valid in the case $S = []$. From now on we also use abbreviations $b()$, $r()$, $i()$, $il()$ and $d()$ for $\text{bracket}()$, $\text{ind}()$, $\text{itel}()$ and $\text{del}()$, respectively. Now let $S = [s | T]$. By the definition of bracket, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bracket} (S, N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s)}} R^*_{B-s} \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (N, B)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ \text{ind}(B, n) = i}} R^*_{B-s} \text{bracket} (\text{rest} (S, s), \text{del} (N, B)). \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis of induction, we have that

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ i(B, n) = i}} R^*_{B-s} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(S, s) \\ \#A \leq i(\text{del}(N, B), n)}} R^*_{n-A} S_n^{i(\text{del}(N, B), n) - \#A} b (d (r (S, s), A), r (d (N, B), n)).$$

If $\text{ind} (B, n) = i$ then $\text{ind} (\text{del} (N, B), n) = \text{ind} (N, n) - \text{ind} (B, n) = \text{ind} (N, n) - i$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ i(B, n) = i}} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(S, s) \\ \#A \leq i(N, n) - i}} R^*_{B-s} R^*_{n-A} S_n^{i(N, n) - i - \#A} b (d (r (S, s), A), r (d (N, B), n)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(S, s) \\ \#A \leq i(N, n) - i}} R^*_{n-A} S_n^{i(N, n) - i - \#A} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ i(B, n) = i}} R^*_{B-s} b (d (r (S, s), A), r (d (N, B), n)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(S, s) \\ \#A \leq i(N, n) - i}} R^*_{n-A} S_n^{i(N, n) - i - \#A} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, s) \\ i(B, n) = i}} (R^*_{n-s})^i R^*_{r(B, n) - s} b (d (r (S, s), A), r (d (N, B), n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \subset S, \# \\ \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) - i}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - i - \# A} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \# B = i(S, s) \\ i(B, n) = i}} R_{r(B, n) - s}^s \cdot b(d(r(S, s), A), r(d(N, B), n))$$

Since $\text{ind}(S, s) - i = \text{ind}(S, s) - \text{ind}(A, s) = \text{ind}(\text{del}(S, A), s)$, $\text{del}(\text{rest}(S, s), A) = \text{rest}(\text{del}(S, A), s)$ and $\text{rest}(\text{del}(N, B), n) = \text{del}(\text{rest}(N, n), B)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \subset S \\ \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) \\ i(A, s) = i}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \# A} \sum_{\substack{B \subset (N, n) \\ \# B = i(\text{del}(N, A), s)}} R_{B-s}^s \cdot b(r(d(S, A), s), d(r(N, n), B)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{A \subset S \\ \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) \\ \text{ind}(A, s) = i}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \# A} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A), \text{rest}(N, n)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subset S \\ \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n)}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \# A} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A), \text{rest}(N, n)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the result.

LEMMA 1.3. *We have the following formula.*

i). *If $i \neq k$ then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-k}^k \text{bracket}_{R_{h-1}^i : \text{even}}(S, \text{del}(N, n)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \# A = \text{ind}(S, i) \\ \# B = \text{ind}(S, k) + 1 \\ \# \text{set}(B) - \# \{n \in \text{set}(B) \cap \text{set}(A) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{A-i}^i \cdot R_{B-k}^k \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, [i, k]), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)) \end{aligned}$$

ii). $\sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-k}^k \text{bracket}_{S_n : \text{even}}(S, \text{del}(N, n))$

$$= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \# A = \# N - \# S - 1 \\ \# B = \text{ind}(S, k) + 1 \\ \# \text{set}(B) - \# \{n \in \text{set}(B) \cap \text{set}(A) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} S_A \cdot R_{B-k}^k \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, k), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)).$$

iii). *If $n \neq m$ then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} R_{n-i}^i \text{bracket}_{R_{m-i}^i : \text{even}}(\text{del}(S, i), N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ 1 \leq \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) + 1 \\ \# B \leq \text{ind}(N, m) \\ \# \text{set}(A) - \# \{i \in \text{set}(B) \cap \text{set}(A) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot R_{m-B}^B \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \# A + 1} \cdot S_m^{\text{ind}(N, m) - \# B} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A \cup B), \\ &\quad \text{rest}(N, [n, m])). \end{aligned}$$

iv). *If $n \neq m$ then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} &S_n \text{bracket}_{S_m : \text{even}}(S, N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ \# A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) \\ \# B \leq \text{ind}(N, m) \\ \text{ind}(N, m) - \# B : \text{even}}} R_{n-A}^A \cdot R_{m-B}^B \cdot S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \# A + 1} \cdot S_m^{\text{ind}(N, m) - \# B} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A \cup B), \text{rest}(N, [n, m])). \end{aligned}$$

v). If $n \neq m$ then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} R_{n-i}^{i-1} \text{bracket}_{R_{m-i}^{i-1} : \text{even}} (\text{del}(S, i), N) + S_n \text{bracket}_{S_m : \text{even}} (S, N) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ \#A = \text{ind}(N, n) + 1 \\ \#B \leq \text{ind}(N, m) \\ \# \text{set}(A) - \# \{i \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{n-A}^A R_{m-B}^B S_m^{\text{ind}(N, m) - \#B} \text{bracket} (\text{del}(S, A \cup B), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{rest}(N, [n, m])) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq \text{ind}(N, n) \\ \#B \leq \text{ind}(N, m) \\ \# \text{set}(A) - \# \{i \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd and } \text{ind}(N, m) - \#B : \text{odd or} \\ \# \text{set}(A) - \# \{i \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{even and } \text{ind}(N, m) - \#B : \text{even}}} R_{n-A}^A R_{m-B}^B S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) - \#A + 1} S_m^{\text{ind}(N, m) - \#B} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{bracket} (\text{del}(S, A \cup B), \text{rest}(N, [n, m])) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{B \subset S \\ \#B \leq \text{ind}(N, m) \\ \text{ind}(N, m) - \#B : \text{even}}} R_{m-B}^B S_n^{\text{ind}(N, n) + 1} S_m^{\text{ind}(N, m) - \#B} \text{bracket} (\text{del}(S, B), \text{rest}(N, [n, m])). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. i). By the definition of bracket and Lemma 1.2.i), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-k}^{k-1} \text{bracket}_{R_{n-i}^{i-1} : \text{even}} (S, \text{del}(N, n)) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-k}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(N, n) \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, i) \\ \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{even}}} R_{n-i}^{i-1} \text{bracket} (\text{rest}(S, i), \text{del}(N, [n \mid A])) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(N, n) \\ \#A = i(S, i) \\ i(A, n) : \text{even}}} \sum_{\substack{B \subset \text{del}(N, [n \mid A]) \\ \#B = i(S, i, k) \\ i(A, n) : \text{even}}} R_{n-i}^{i-1} R_{[n \mid B]-k}^{k-1} b(r(S, [i, k]), d(N, [n \mid A \cup B])) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} \sum_{\substack{B \subset \text{del}(N, n) \\ \#B = i(S, k) \\ i(A, n) : \text{even}}} \sum_{\substack{A \subset \text{del}(N, [n \mid B]) \\ \#A = i(S, i) \\ i(A, n) : \text{even}}} R_{n-i}^{i-1} R_{[n \mid B]-k}^{k-1} b(r(S, [i, k]), d(N, [n \mid A \cup B])). \end{aligned}$$

Now we decompose $[n \mid B]$ and A into $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \dots \cup B_{\lambda + \mu + \nu}$ and $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots \cup A_{\mu + \nu + \rho}$, respectively, satisfying that each B_i and A_i is consisted by a single item and $B_i \cap B_j = []$ and $A_i \cap A_j = []$ for $i \neq j$, $B_i \cap A = []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, $B_{\lambda+i} \cap A_i \neq []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$, $B \cap A_i = []$ for $\mu + \nu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu + \rho$, $\#A_i$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ and $\#A_i$ is odd for $\mu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$. Since $\text{ind}(A, n)$ is even, n must be contained in B_i for some i with $1 \leq i \leq \lambda + \mu$. If $\lambda + \mu$ is even then the summation of monomials contain R_{n-k}^{k-1} is zero else it is non zero. Since the condition $\lambda + \mu$ is odd is equivalent to the condition $\# \text{set}(B) - \# \{n \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\}$ is odd, we have that

$$= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \#A = \text{ind}(S, i) \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, k) + 1 \\ \# \text{set}(B) - \# \{n \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{n-i}^{i-1} R_{B-k}^{k-1} \text{bracket} (\text{rest}(S, [i, k]), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)).$$

ii). This is proved by the essentially same methods. By the definition of bracket and Lemma 1.2.ii), we have that

$$\sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-k}^{k-1} \text{bracket}_{S_n : \text{even}} (S, \text{del}(N, n))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} \sum_{\substack{BC \subset d(N,n) \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S,k)}} R_{[n|B]-k}^{\uparrow} \text{bracket}_{S_n: \text{even}} (\text{rest}(S, k), \text{del}(N, [n|B])) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} \sum_{\substack{BC \subset d(N,n) \\ \#B = i(S,k)}} \sum_{\substack{AC \subset d(N, [n|B]) \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ i(A,n) : \text{even}}} R_{[n|B]-k}^{\uparrow} S_A b(r(S, k), d(N, [n|A \cup B]))
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we decompose $[n|B]$ and A into $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \dots \cup B_{\lambda+\mu+\nu}$ and $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots \cup A_{\mu+\nu+\rho}$, respectively, satisfying that each B_i and A_i is consisted by a single item and $B_i \cap B_j = []$ and $A_i \cap A_j = []$ for $i \neq j$, $B_i \cap A = []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, $B_{\lambda+i} \cap A_i \neq []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$, $B \cap A_i = []$ for $\mu + \nu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu + \rho$, $\#A_i$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ and $\#A_i$ is odd for $\mu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$. Since $\text{ind}(A, n)$ is even, n must be contained in B_i for some i with $1 \leq i \leq \lambda + \mu$. If $\lambda + \mu$ is even then the summation of monomials contain $R_{[n|B]-k}^{\uparrow}$ is zero else it is non zero. Since the condition $\lambda + \mu$ is odd is equivalent to the condition $\#\text{set}(B) - \#\{n \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\}$ is odd, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S,k) + 1 \\ \#\text{set}(B) - \#\{n \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{[n|B]-k}^{\uparrow} S_A \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, k), \text{del}(N, A \cup B)).
 \end{aligned}$$

iii). This is also proved by the essentially same methods. By the definition of bracket and Lemma 1.2.iii), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} R_{n-i}^i \text{bracket}_{R_{m-i}: \text{even}} (\text{del}(S, i), N) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} \sum_{\substack{AC \subset d(S,i) \\ \#A \leq \text{ind}(N,n)}} R_{n-[i|A]}^{[i|A]} S_n^{\text{ind}(N,n) - \#A} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, [i|A]), \text{rest}(N, n)) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} \sum_{\substack{AC \subset d(S,i) \\ \#A \leq i(N,n)}} \sum_{\substack{BC \subset d(S, [i|A]) \\ \#B \leq i(N,n, m) \\ i(B,i) : \text{even}}} R_{n-[i|A]}^{[i|A]} R_{m-B}^B S_n^{i(N,n) - \#A} \\
 &\quad \times S_m^{i(N,m) - \#B} b(d(S, [i|A \cup B]), r(N, [n, m])).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we decompose $[i|A]$ and B into $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots \cup A_{\lambda+\mu+\nu}$ and $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \dots \cup B_{\mu+\nu+\rho}$, respectively, satisfying that each A_i and B_i is consisted by a single item and $A_i \cap A_j = []$ and $B_i \cap B_j = []$ for $i \neq j$, $A_i \cap B = []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, $A_{\lambda+i} \cap B_i \neq []$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$, $A \cap B_i = []$ for $\mu + \nu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu + \rho$, $\#B_i$ is even for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ and $\#B_i$ is odd for $\mu + 1 \leq i \leq \mu + \nu$. Since $\text{ind}(B, i)$ is even, i must be contained in A_j for some j with $1 \leq j \leq \lambda + \mu$. If $\lambda + \mu$ is even then the summation of monomials contain R_{n-i}^i is zero else it is non-zero. Since the condition $\lambda + \mu$ is odd is equivalent to the condition $\#\text{set}(A) - \#\{i \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\}$ is odd, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq \text{ind}(N,n) + 1 \\ \#B \leq \text{ind}(N,m) \\ \#\text{set}(A) - \#\{i \in \text{set}(A) \cap \text{set}(B) \mid \text{ind}(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{n-A}^A R_{m-B}^B S_n^{\text{ind}(N,n) - \#A + 1} S_m^{\text{ind}(N,m) - \#B} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, A \cup B), \\
 &\quad \text{rest}(N, [n, m])).
 \end{aligned}$$

iv). By the definition of bracket and Lemma 1.2.iii), we have that

$$S_n \text{bracket}_{S_m: \text{even}}(S, N)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{ACS \\ \#A \leq \text{ind}(N,n)}} R_{n-A}^A S_n^{\text{ind}(N,n) - \#A + 1} \text{bracket}_{S_m : \text{even}} (\text{del}(S, A), \text{rest}(N, n)) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{ACS \\ \#A \leq i(N,n)}} \sum_{\substack{BC \subseteq d(S,A) \\ \#B \leq i(N,m) \\ i(N,m) - \#B : \text{even}}} R_{n-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_n^{i(N,n) - \#A + 1} S_m^{i(N,m) - \#B} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [n, m])).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the result.

v). This is easily induced by iii) and iv).

2. Differentials of brackets

In this section we shall determine the differentials of the brackets. From now on we also use abbreviations $b(\)$, $d(\)$, $i(\)$, $r(\)$ and $s(\)$ for $\text{bracket}(\)$, $\text{del}(\)$, $\text{ind}(\)$, $\text{rest}(\)$ and $\text{set}(\)$, respectively.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let S and N be lists of non-negative integers such that $\#S \leq \#N$. Then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{bracket}(S, N) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in N}} \sum_{\substack{BC \subseteq N \\ \#B = \#(S, \alpha) + 1}} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(B)} \sum_{\substack{AC \subseteq d(N,B) \\ \#A = i(S,i) - 1 \\ \#d(B) - \#(n \in \#(A) \cap \#(B) \mid i(A,n) : \text{odd}) : \text{odd}}} R_{\{\alpha \mid A\} - i} b(r(S, [i, \alpha]), d(N, A \cup B)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{CC \subseteq d(N,B) \\ \#C = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ \#d(B) - \#(n \in \#(B) \cap \#(C) \mid i(C,n) : \text{odd}) : \text{odd}}} S_{\{\alpha \mid C\}} b(r(S, \alpha), d(N, B \cup C)) \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N \cup S} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-\alpha}^{\alpha} b(S, [\alpha \mid d(N, n)]) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N \cup S} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-\alpha}^{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} R_{n-i}^i b_{R_{n-i}^i : \text{odd}}(d(S, i), d(N, n)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + S_{\alpha} b_{S_n : \text{odd}}(S, d(N, n)) \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-\alpha}^{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{A \cup BC \subseteq S \\ \#A = i(N, \alpha) + 1 \\ \#B \leq i(N,n) - 1 \\ \#d(A) - \#(i \in \#(A) \cap \#(B) \mid i(B,i) : \text{odd}) : \text{odd}}} R_{\alpha-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_n^{i(N,n) - \#B - 1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [n, \alpha])) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{A \cup BC \subseteq S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq i(N, \alpha) \\ \#B \leq i(N,n) - 1 \\ \#d(A) - \#(i \in \#(A) \cap \#(B) \mid i(B,i) : \text{odd}) : \text{odd and } i(N,n) - \#B - 1 : \text{odd or} \\ \#d(A) - \#(i \in \#(A) \cap \#(B) \mid i(B,i) : \text{odd}) : \text{even and } i(N,n) - \#B - 1 : \text{even}}} R_{\alpha-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_{\alpha}^{i(N, \alpha) - \#A + 1} S_n^{i(N,n) - \#B - 1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [n, \alpha])) \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{BC \subseteq S \\ \#B \leq i(N,n) - 1 \\ i(N,n) - \#B - 1 : \text{even}}} R_{n-B}^B S_{\alpha}^{i(N, \alpha) + 1} S_n^{i(N,n) - \#B - 1} b(d(S, B), r(N, [n, \alpha])).
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since X is a commutative differential algebra over Z_2 and the differential d is a derivation, we have that

d bracket (S, N)

$$= \sum_{i \in \#(S)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} d(R_{n-i}^i) \text{ bracket}_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (\text{del}(S, i), \text{del}(N, n))$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in \#(N)} d(S_n) \text{ bracket}_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, \text{del}(N, n))$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \#(S)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} R_{\alpha-i}^i R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (d(S, i), d(N, n)) \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} R_{\alpha-i}^i R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (d(S, i), d(N, n)) \quad (2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\alpha \in \#(N)} R_{\alpha-i}^i R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (d(S, i), d(N, n)) \quad (3)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} S_\alpha R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, d(N, n)) \quad (4)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} S_\alpha R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, d(N, n)) \quad (5)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \sum_{\alpha \in \#(N)} S_\alpha R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, d(N, n)) \quad (6)$$

By Lemma 1.3.i) and ii), we have that

(1)+(4)

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} R_{\alpha-i}^i \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (d(S, i), d(N, n)) \right.$$

$$\left. + S_\alpha \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, d(N, n)) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} R_{\alpha-i}^i \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \#A = \#(S, i) - 1 \\ \#B = \#(S, \alpha) + 1 \\ \#(B) - \#\{n \in \#(B) \cap \#(A) \mid i(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{\alpha-i}^i R_{B-\alpha}^\alpha b(r(S, [i, \alpha]), d(N, A \cup B)) \right.$$

$$\left. + S_\alpha \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset N \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ \#B = \#(S, \alpha) + 1 \\ \#(B) - \#\{n \in \#(B) \cap \#(A) \mid i(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} S_A R_{B-\alpha}^\alpha b(r(S, \alpha), d(N, A \cup B)) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \#(S) \\ \alpha \in \#(N)}} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \#(S, \alpha) + 1}} R_{B-\alpha}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} \sum_{\substack{A \subset d(N, B) \\ \#A = \#(S, i) - 1 \\ \#(B) - \#\{n \in \#(A) \cap \#(B) \mid i(A, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{[\alpha | A]-i} b(r(S, [i, \alpha]), d(N, A \cup B)) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\substack{C \subset d(N, B) \\ \#C = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ \#(B) - \#\{n \in \#(B) \cap \#(C) \mid i(C, n) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} S_{[\alpha | C]} b(r(S, \alpha), d(N, A \cup B)) \right\}.$$

By the definition of bracket, we have that

(2)+(5)

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \#(N) \cup \#(S)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \#(S)} R_{\alpha-i}^i R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{R_{n-i}^i: \text{even}} (d(S, i), d(N, n)) \right.$$

$$\left. + S_\alpha R_{n-\alpha}^\alpha b_{S_n: \text{even}} (S, d(N, n)) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{K}(N) \cup \mathcal{K}(S)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}(N)} R_{n-a}^\alpha b(S, [a | d(N, n)]) \\
 &+ \sum_{a \in \mathcal{K}(N) \cup \mathcal{K}(S)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}(N)} R_{n-a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}(S)} R_{a-i}^i b_{R_{n-i}^i : \text{odd}}(d(S, i), d(N, n)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + S_a b_{S_n : \text{odd}}(S, d(N, n)) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.3.iii) and iv), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(3)+(6) \\
 &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{K}(N)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}(N)} R_{n-a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}(S)} R_{a-i}^i b_{R_{n-i}^i : \text{even}}(d(S, i), d(N, n)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + S_a b_{S_n : \text{even}}(S, d(N, n)) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{K}(N)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}(N)} R_{n-a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq i(N, a) + 1 \\ \#B \leq i(N, n) - 1 \\ \#(A) - \#\{i \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(B) \mid i(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_\alpha^{i(N, a) - \#A + 1} S_n^{i(N, n) - \#B - 1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \text{bracket}(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ \#A \leq i(N, a) \\ \#B \leq i(N, n) - 1 \\ i(N, n) - \#B - 1 : \text{even}}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_\alpha^{i(N, a) - \#A + 1} S_n^{i(N, n) - \#B - 1} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \\
 &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{K}(N)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}(N)} R_{n-a}^\alpha \left\{ \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ \#A = i(N, a) + 1 \\ \#B \leq i(N, n) - 1 \\ \#(A) - \#\{i \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(B) \mid i(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd}}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_\alpha^{i(N, a) - \#B - 1} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq i(N, a) \\ \#B \leq i(N, n) - 1 \\ \#(A) - \#\{i \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(B) \mid i(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{odd and } i(N, n) - \#B - 1 : \text{odd or} \\ \#(A) - \#\{i \in \mathcal{K}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(B) \mid i(B, i) : \text{odd}\} : \text{even and } i(N, n) - \#B - 1 : \text{even}}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_\alpha^{i(N, a) - \#A + 1} S_n^{i(N, n) - \#B - 1} \\
 &\quad \left. b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{B \subset S \\ \#B \leq i(N, n) - 1 \\ i(N, n) - \#B - 1 : \text{even}}} R_{n-B}^B S_\alpha^{i(N, a) + 1} S_n^{i(N, n) - \#B - 1} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the results.

COROLLARY 2.2. *If S and N are both sets, then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket}(S, N) \\
 &= \sum_{a \in \text{set}(N) \cup \text{set}(S)} \text{bracket}([a | S], [a | N]) \\
 &+ \sum_{a \in N} \sum_{n \in N} R_{n-a}^\alpha S_a^2 \text{bracket}(S, \text{del}(N, [a, n])).
 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.3. *If N is a set and S is a list, then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket}(S, N) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \text{set}(S) \\ \alpha \in \text{set}(N)}} \sum_{\substack{B \subset N \\ \#B = \text{ind}(S, \alpha) + 1 \\ \#B : \text{odd}}} R_{n-a}^\alpha \text{bracket}(\text{rest}(S, \alpha), [a | \text{del}(N, B)]) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in \text{set}(N) \cup \text{set}(S)} \sum_{n \in N} R_{n-a}^\alpha \text{bracket}(S, \text{del}([a | N], n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{a \in N} \sum_{n \in N} R_{n-a}^a \left\{ \sum_{[i,i] \subset S} (R_{a-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket} (\text{del} (S, [i, i]), \text{del} (N, [a, n])) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + S_a^2 \text{ bracket} (S, \text{del} (N, [a, n])) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.4. *If S is a set and N is a list, then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{ bracket} (S, N) \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{a \in S \\ a \in \text{set}(N)}} \left\{ \sum_{[a,n] \subset N} (R_{n-a}^a)^2 \sum_{i \in \text{set}(S)} R_{a-i}^i \text{ bracket} (\text{del} (S, [i, a]), \text{del} (N, [n, n])) \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{BCN \\ \#B=2}} R_{B-a}^a \sum_{\substack{CC \text{ del}(N,B) \\ \#C=\#N-\#S-1 \\ \# \text{set}(B)-\#(n \in \text{set}(B) \cap \text{set}(C) \mid \text{ind}(C,n) : \text{odd}) : \text{odd}}} S_{[a|C]} \text{ bracket} (\text{del} (S, a), \text{del} (N, B \cup C)) \Big\} \\
 & + \sum_{a \in S \cup \text{set}(N)} \sum_{n \in \text{set}(N)} R_{n-a}^a \left\{ \text{bracket} (S, \text{del} ([a|N], n)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + S_a \text{ bracket}_{S_n : \text{odd}} (S, \text{del} (N, n)) \right\} \\
 & + \sum_{a \in \#(N)} \sum_{n \in \#(N)} R_{n-a}^a \left\{ \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ \#A=i(N,a)+1 \\ \#A : \text{odd} \\ \#B \leq i(N,n)-1}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_n^{i(N,a)-\#B-1} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{A \cup B \subset S \\ 1 \leq \#A \leq i(N,a) \\ \#B \leq i(N,n)-1 \\ \#A : \text{odd and } i(N,n)-\#B-1 : \text{odd or} \\ \#A : \text{even and } i(N,n)-\#B-1 : \text{even}}} R_{a-A}^A R_{n-B}^B S_n^{i(N,a)-\#A+1} S_n^{i(N,n)-\#B-1} b(d(S, A \cup B), r(N, [a, n])) \Big\} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{BCS \\ \#B \leq i(N,n)-1 \\ i(N,n)-\#B-1 : \text{even}}} R_{n-B}^B S_a^{i(N,a)+1} S_n^{i(N,n)-\#B-1} b(d(S, B), r(N, [a, n])).
 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.5. *If S and N are list such that $\text{ind} (S, s) \leq 2$ for each item s of S and $\text{ind} (N, n) \leq 2$ for each item n of N , then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{ bracket} (S, N) \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{a \in \#(S) \\ a \in \#(N) \\ i(S,a)=1}} \left\{ \sum_{[b,b] \subset N} (R_{b-a}^a)^2 b(d(S, a), d([a|N], [b, b])) \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{[b,b,c] \subset N \\ b \neq c}} \sum_{\substack{i \in \#(S) \\ i(S,i)=2}} R_{a-i}^i R_{b-i}^i R_{b-a}^a R_{c-a}^a b(d(S, [i, i, a]), d(N, [b, b, c])) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{[b,b,c|A] \subset N \\ \#A=\#N-\#S-2 \\ b \neq c}} S_{[a,b|A]} R_{b-a}^a R_{c-a}^a b(d(S, a), d(N, [b, b, c|A])) \Big\} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in \#(S) \\ a \in \#(N) \\ i(S,a)=2}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i \in \#(S) \\ i(S,i)=1}} \sum_{\substack{[a,b,c] \subset N \\ \#s([a,b,c])=3}} R_{a-i}^i R_{a-a}^a R_{b-a}^a R_{c-a}^a b(d(S, [i, a, a]), d(N, [a, b, c])) \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \#(S) \\ i(S,i)=2}} \sum_{\substack{[b,b,c,c] \subset N \\ b \neq c}} R_{a-i}^i b([i, a, a, a], [b, b, c, c]) \\
 & \quad \quad \times b(d(S, [i, i, a, a], d(N, [b, b, c, c])) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \#(S) \\ i(S,i)=2}} \sum_{\substack{[b,c,d,e] \subset N \\ \#s([b,c,d,e])=4}} R_{a-i}^i b([i, a, a, a], [b, c, d, e]) \Big\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times b(d(S, [i, i, a, a]), d(N, [b, c, d, e])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{\{b,c,d\} \subset N \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 1 \\ \#s(\{b,c,d\}) = 3}} S_a S_A R_{a-a}^a R_{c-a}^a R_{d-a}^a b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [b, c, d | A])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{\{b,b,c,c\} \subset N \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 2 \\ b \neq c}} S_a S_A b([a, a, a], [b, b, c, c]) b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [b, b, c, c | A])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{\{b,b,c,c,d\} \subset N \\ \#A = \#N - \#S - 3 \\ \#s(\{b,c,d\}) = 3}} S_a S_{\{b,c\} \subset A} R_{a-a}^a R_{c-a}^a R_{d-a}^a b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [b, b, c, c, d | A])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in s(S) \\ a \in s(N)}} \{ \text{bracket}([a | S], [a | N]) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 2}} \sum_{\substack{i \in s(S) \\ i(S,i) = 2}} R_{n-a}^a R_{a-i}^a R_{n-i}^a b(d(S, [i, i]), d(N, [n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 2}} R_{n-a}^a S_a S_n b(S, d(N, [n, n])) \} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in s(N) \\ i(N,a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 1}} R_{n-a}^a \{ \sum_{\{a,e\} \subset S} (R_{a-a}^a)^2 b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [a, n])) \\
 & + S_a^2 b(S, d(N, [a, n])) \} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in s(N) \\ i(N,a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 2}} R_{n-a}^a \{ \sum_{\{a,e\} \subset S} (R_{a-a}^a)^2 b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [a, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\{a,e\} \subset S} R_{a-a}^a R_{n-a}^a b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{a \in S} S_a b([a], [a, n]) b(d(S, [a]), d(N, [a, n, n])) \} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in s(N) \\ i(N,a) = 2}} \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 1}} R_{n-a}^a \{ \sum_{\substack{\{a,b,c\} \subset S \\ \#s(\{a,b,c\}) = 3}} R_{a-a}^a R_{a-b}^a R_{a-c}^a b(d(S, [a, b, c]), d(N, [a, a, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\{a,b\} \subset S} R_{a-a}^a R_{a-b}^a S_a b(d(S, [a, b]), d(N, [a, a, n])) \\
 & + S_a^3 b(S, d(N, [a, a, n])) \} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{a \in s(N) \\ i(N,a) = 2}} \sum_{\substack{n \in s(N) \\ i(N,n) = 2}} R_{n-a}^a \{ \sum_{\substack{\{a,a,b,b\} \subset S \\ a \neq b}} b([a, a, b, b], [a, a, a, n]) \\
 & \times b(d(S, [a, a, b, b]), d(N, [a, a, n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{\{a,b,c,d\} \subset S \\ \#s(\{a,b,c,d\}) = 4}} b([a, b, c, d], [a, a, a, n]) b(d(S, [a, b, c, d]), d(N, [a, a, n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{\{a,b,c\} \subset S \\ \#s(\{a,b,c\}) = 3}} b([a, b, c], [a, a, a, n]) b(d(S, [a, b, c]), d(N, [a, a, n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{\{a,e\} \subset S} b([a, a], [a, a, n]) S_a b(d(S, [a, a]), d(N, [a, a, n, n])) \\
 & + \sum_{a \in S} b([a], [a, n]) S_a^2 b(d(S, [a]), d(N, [a, a, n, n])) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Some relations of $H^*(X)$

In this section we apply Theorem 2.1 and its corollaries to pick up systematically the defining relations of $H^*(X)$.

We first quote the defining relations proved in [7 : Theorem 3,5] and [6 : Theorem 2.2, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1]. These are directly derived from the results in section 2. So we omit those proofs.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n+2}]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+1}]$ with $n \geq 0$, $i_0 + 4 \leq m \leq i_0 + 2n + 4$, $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{n+2}$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [i_0, i_0 + 1, i_0 + 2, \dots, i_0 + 2n + 3]$ and $i_p \leq i_0 + 2(p - 1) - 1$ if $i_p \geq m$, $i_{n+2} \leq i_0 + 2n + 2$ if $m = i_0 + 2n + 4$ and $i_{n+2} \leq i_0 + 2n + 1$ if $m \leq i_0 + 2n + 3$, in addition to being $i_p \leq i_0 + 2p - 1$ for each p such that $1 \leq p \leq n + 2$. Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } (S, [m, m | N]) = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq r} (R_{m-i_p}^{i_p})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p | N]),$$

where r is the largest index p such that $i_p < m$ ($1 \leq p \leq n + 2$) and s is the largest index p such that $i_p = i_0 + 2p - 1$ ($1 \leq p \leq r$).

THEOREM 3.2. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ with $n \geq 1$, $3 \leq m \leq 2n + 1$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$ and $i_p \leq 2(p - 1)$ if $i_p \geq m$, $i_n \leq 2n - 1$ if $m = 2n + 1$ and $i_n \leq 2n - 2$ if $m \leq 2n$, in addition to being $i_p \leq 2p$ for each index p . Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } (S, [m, m | N]) = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq r} (R_{m-i_p}^{i_p})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p | N]),$$

where r is the largest index p such that $i_p < m$ and s is the largest index p such that $i_p = 2p$ ($0 \leq p \leq r$).

THEOREM 3.3. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+3}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 3]$ and $i_k \leq 2k + 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } (S, N) = \sum_{k \in N} S_k^2 \text{ bracket } ([k | S], \text{del } (N, k)).$$

If there exist an index p such that $i_p = 2p + 1$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{k \in N \\ k < 2q+1}}$, where q is the least index p such that $i_p = 2p + 1$.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+4}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 4]$ and $i_k \leq 2k + 2$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } (S, N) = \sum_{k \in N} S_k^i \text{ bracket } ([k | S], \text{del } (N, k)).$$

If there exist an index p such that $i_p = 2p + 2$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{k \in N \\ k < 2q+2}}$, where q is the least index p such that $i_p = 2p + 2$.

NOTATIONS. If x is any real number, we write $\lceil x \rceil$ = the least integer greater than or equal to x (the "ceiling" of x). This notation is used in [3].

THEOREM 3.5. Let $k \geq -1$, $i \geq \max\{k, 0\}$ and $n \geq \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+3}]$ satisfying that $i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [i-k, i-k+1, i-k+2, \dots, i-k+2n+3]$, $i_0 = i-k$, $i_q \leq i-k+2q-1$ if $1 \leq q \leq \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$, $i_q \leq i-k+2q+1$ if $\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil < q \leq n$. Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } ([i, i | S], N) = \sum_{\substack{i < \alpha < i+2n-k+3 \\ \alpha \in S}} (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket } ([\alpha | S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)).$$

If there exist an index q such that $i_q = i-k+2q+1$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{k < \alpha < i-k+2p+1 \\ \alpha \in S}}$, where p is the least index q such that $i_q = i-k+2q+1$.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+4}]$ satisfying that $k \geq 0$, $n \geq \lceil k/2 \rceil$, $0 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+4]$ and $i_q \leq 2q$ if $0 \leq q \leq \lceil k/2 \rceil$, $i_q \leq 2q+2$ if $\lceil k/2 \rceil < q \leq n$. Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } ([k, k | S], N) = \sum_{\substack{k < \alpha < 2n+4 \\ \alpha \in S}} (R_{\alpha-k}^k)^2 \text{ bracket } ([\alpha | S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)).$$

If there exist an index q such that $i_q = 2q+2$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{k < \alpha < 2p+2 \\ \alpha \in S}}$, where p is the least index q such that $i_q = 2q+2$.

Now we shall discuss the defining relations of the form $g(S)g(S') = \dots$. The first Theorem is proved in [6 : Theorem 2.1].

THEOREM 3.7. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2]$. Then we have that

$$d \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 0), N) = S_0 \text{ bracket } (S, N) + \sum_{k \in N} S_k^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } ([k | S], 0), \text{del } (N, k)).$$

If there exist an index p such that $i_p = 2p$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{k \in N \\ k < 2p}}$, where p is the least index q such that $i_q = 2q$.

PROOF. Since $del(S, 0)$ and N are both sets with $del(S, 0) \cap N = []$ and $del(S, 0) \cup N = [1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n+2]$, we have, by Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 1.2. i) and iii), that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{ bracket } (del(S, 0), N) \\ &= \text{bracket } ([0 | del(S, 0)], [0 | N]) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{n \in N} R_{n-\alpha}^{\alpha} S_2^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } (del(S, 0), del(N, [\alpha, n])) \\ &= S_0 \text{ bracket } (S, N) + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_2^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } ([\alpha | del(S, 0)], del(N, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Next we assume that there exist an index q such that $i_q = 2q$ and p is the least index q such that $i_q = 2q$. If $k \in N$ with $k \geq 2p$ then $del([k | S], 0)$ contains $p-1$ items i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{p-1} less than $2p$ and $del(N, k)$ contains p items j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{p-1} less than $2p$. Since $\#del([k | S], 0) = \#del(N, k)$, by the definition of bracket, $R_{j_q-i_r}^{i_r}$, with $j_q < i_r$, must be appear for each monomial. So in this case, we have $\text{bracket}(del([k | S], 0), del(N, k)) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.8. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 1, i_0 = 0, i_1 = 2, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n, S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{ bracket } (del([0 | S], 2), [1 | N]) \\ &= \text{bracket } ([0], [1, 2]) \text{ bracket } (S, N) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in del(N, 1)} \{(R_2^{\alpha})^2 S_1^{\alpha} + (R_1^{\alpha})^2 S_2^{\alpha}\} \text{ bracket } (del([\alpha | S], [0, 2]), del(N, [1, \alpha])). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{ bracket } (del([0 | S], 2), [1 | N]) \\ &= \text{bracket } ([0 | S], [1, 2 | N]) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in del(N, 1) \\ \alpha < \beta}} R_{\beta-\alpha}^{\alpha} \{(R_2^{\alpha})^2 \text{ bracket } (del(S, [0, 2]), del([1 | N], [\alpha, \beta])) \\ &\quad + S_2^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } (del([0 | S], 2), del([1 | N], [\alpha, \beta]))\} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &= (R_1^{\alpha})^2 \text{ bracket } (del(S, 0), del([2 | N], 1)) \\ &+ R_1^{\alpha} S_1 \text{ bracket } (S, del([2 | N], 1)) \\ &+ S_1^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } ([0 | S], del([2 | N], 1)) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in del(N, 1) \\ \alpha < \beta}} (R_2^{\alpha})^2 S_1^{\alpha} R_{\beta-\alpha}^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } (del(S, [0, 2]), del(N, [1, \alpha, \beta])) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in del(N, 1) \\ \alpha < \beta}} (R_1^{\alpha})^2 S_2^{\alpha} R_{\beta-\alpha}^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } (del(S, [0, 2]), del(N, [1, \alpha, \beta])) \\ &= (R_1^{\alpha})^2 S_2 \text{ bracket } (del(S, 0), del(N, 1)) + R_1^{\alpha} R_1^{\alpha} S_1 \text{ bracket } (del(S, 0), del(N, 1)) \\ &+ R_1^{\alpha} S_1 S_2 \text{ bracket } (S, del(N, 1)) + S_1^{\alpha} R_2^{\alpha} \text{ bracket } (S, del(N, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(N, 1)} S_1^2 (R_1^2)^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}([\alpha | S], [0, 2]), \text{del}(N, [1, \alpha])) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(N, 1)} (R_1^2)^2 S_2^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}([\alpha | S], [0, 2]), \text{del}(N, [1, \alpha]))
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2. iii), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{bracket}([0], [1, 2]) \text{bracket}(S, N) \\
& = R_1^2 S_2 \text{bracket}(S, N) + R_2^2 S_1 \text{bracket}(S, N) \\
& = (R_1^2)^2 S_2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 0), \text{del}(N, 1)) + R_1^2 S_1 S_2 \text{bracket}(S, \text{del}(N, 1)) \\
& + R_1^2 R_2^2 S_1 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 0), \text{del}(N, 1)) + S_1^2 R_2^2 \text{bracket}(S, \text{del}(N, 1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the result.

THEOREM 3.9. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 1$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_1 = 1$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2]$ and $i_2 = 3$ if $n \geq 2$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \text{bracket}(\text{del}([0 | S], 1), [2 | N]) \\
& = \text{bracket}([0], [1, 2]) \text{bracket}(S, N) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(N, 2)} \{(R_2^2)^2 S_1^2 + (R_1^2)^2 S_2^2\} \text{bracket}(\text{del}([\alpha | S], [0, 1]), \text{del}(N, [2, \alpha])).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This is essentially same as above one. So we omit it.

THEOREM 3.10. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n+1}]$ satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_1 = 1$, $i_2 = 2$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \{R_1^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 2), N) + R_2^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 1), N) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_2^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}([0, \alpha | S], [1, 2]), \text{del}(N, \alpha))\} \\
& = \text{bracket}([0], [1, 2]) \text{bracket}(S, N) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in \text{del}(N, \alpha)} S_2^2 (R_2^2)^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}([\alpha, \beta | S], [0, 1, 2]), \text{del}(N, [\alpha, \beta])).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \{R_1^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 2), N) + R_2^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 1), N) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_2^2 \text{bracket}(\text{del}([0, \alpha | S], [1, 2]), \text{del}(N, \alpha))\} \\
& = R_1^2 \{\text{bracket}(S, [2 | N]) + \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in N} S_2^2 R_2^{2-\alpha} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 2), \text{del}(N, [\alpha, \beta]))\} \\
& + R_1^2 R_1 \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 1), N) \\
& + R_2^2 \{\text{bracket}(S, [1 | N]) + \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in N} S_2^2 R_2^{2-\alpha} \text{bracket}(\text{del}(S, 1), \text{del}(N, [\alpha, \beta]))\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_{\alpha}^2 \{ \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([0, \alpha | S], 2), \text{del} ([1 | N], \alpha)) \\
 & \quad + \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([0, \alpha | S], 1), \text{del} ([2 | N], \alpha)) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \text{del}(N, \alpha)} R_{\gamma-\beta}^{\alpha} (R_{\beta}^{\alpha})^2 \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha | S], [0, 1, 2]), \text{del} (N, [\alpha, \beta, \gamma])) \} \\
 = & R_0^{\alpha} R_2^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del}(S, 0), N) + R_1^{\alpha} R_1^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del}(S, 1), N) + R_1^{\alpha} S_2 \text{bracket} (S, N) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_{\alpha}^2 R_1^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha | S], 2), \text{del} (N, \alpha)) + R_1^{\alpha} R_1^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} (S, 1), N) \\
 & + R_2^{\alpha} R_1^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} (S, 0), N) + R_2^{\alpha} S_1 \text{bracket} (S, N) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_{\alpha}^2 R_2^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha | S], 1), \text{del} (N, \alpha)) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_{\alpha}^2 R_1^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha | S], 2), \text{del} (N, \alpha)) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} S_{\alpha}^2 R_2^{\alpha} \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha | S], 1), \text{del} (N, \alpha)) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in \text{del}(N, \alpha)} S_{\alpha}^2 (R_{\beta}^{\alpha})^2 \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha, \beta | S], [0, 1, 2]), \text{del} (N, [\alpha, \beta])) \\
 = & \text{bracket} ([0], [1, 2]) \text{bracket} (S, N) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in \text{del}(N, \alpha)} S_{\alpha}^2 (R_{\beta}^{\alpha})^2 \text{bracket} (\text{del} ([\alpha, \beta | S], [0, 1, 2]), \text{del} (N, [\alpha, \beta]))
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the result.

Next we shall discuss the defining relations of the form $g(S) h_i(S') = \dots$.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let $S_n = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ ($S_0 = []$) and $N_n = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $0 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, $S_n \cap N_n = []$, $S_n \cup N_n = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$ and $i_k \leq 2(k-1)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. And let $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $m \geq 0$, $2n = i'_0 < i'_1 < \dots < i'_m$, $S' \cap N' = []$, $S' \cup N' = [2n, 2n+1, 2n+2, \dots, 2n+2m+1]$ and $i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{bracket} (S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0), \text{del} (N_n, 2n) \cup N') \\
 & = \text{bracket} (S_n, N_n) \text{bracket} (S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since $S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0)$ and $\text{del} (N_n, 2n) \cup N'$ are both sets with $(S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0)) \cap (\text{del} (N_n, 2n) \cup N') = []$ and $(S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0)) \cup (\text{del} (N_n, 2n) \cup N') = \text{del} ([0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2m+1], 2n)$, we have, by Corollary 2.2, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{bracket} (S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0), \text{del} (N_n, 2n) \cup N') \\
 & = \sum_{p \in N'} R_{2n}^{2n} \text{bracket} (S_n \cup \text{del} (S', i'_0), N_n \cup \text{del} (N', p)) \\
 & = \text{bracket} (S_n, N_n) \sum_{p \in N'} R_{2n}^{2n} \text{bracket} (\text{del} (S', i'_0), \text{del} (N', p)) \\
 & = \text{bracket} (S_n, N_n) \text{bracket} (S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.12. *Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $S \cap N = []$, $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$ and $i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we*

have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{ bracket } (S, [2n+2, 2n+2 | N]) \\ &= R^{2n+1} \text{ bracket } (S, [2n+1, 2n+2 | N]) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n} (R^{i_{n-i_p+2}})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p | N]), \end{aligned}$$

where s is the largest index p such that $i_p = 2p$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$).

PROOF. By Corollary 2.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{ bracket } (S, [2n+2, 2n+2 | N]) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n} (R^{i_{n-i_p+2}})^2 \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} R^{i_{p-i_k}} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i_p, i_k]), N) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + S_{i_p} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), N) \right\} \\ &+ R^{2n+1} \text{ bracket } (S, [2n+1, 2n+2 | N]) \\ &= R^{2n+1} \text{ bracket } (S, [2n+1, 2n+2 | N]) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n} (R^{i_{n-i_p+2}})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p | N]). \end{aligned}$$

If $p < s$ then $\text{del } (S, i_p)$ contains s items $i_0, \dots, i_{p-1}, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_s$ less than or equal to $2s$ and $[i_p | N]$ contains $s+1$ items j_1, \dots, j_s and i_p less than $2s$. So we have the results.

REMARK. This is proved in [7 : Theorem 4].

THEOREM 3.13. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying $n \geq 1$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_{n-1} = 2n-2$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$. And let $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $m \geq 1$, $i'_0 = 2n-1$, $i'_1 = 2n$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $S' \cap N' = []$ and $S' \cup N' = [2n-1, 2n, 2n+1, \dots, 2n+2m]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{ R^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\ &\quad + R^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\ &\quad + \text{bracket } ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n]), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \} \\ &= \text{bracket } (S, N) \text{ bracket } (S', N') \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N'} (R^{i_{n-i_\alpha+2}})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup [\alpha | \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n])], \\ &\quad \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.2, we have that

$$d \{ R^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{ bracket } ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n]), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 = & R_2^{2n-2} \{ \text{bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n-1) \cup N') \} \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} R_2^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} \{ \text{bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n) \cup N') \} \\
 & + \text{ bracket } ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], 2n) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{ bracket } ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], 2n-1) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in N'} (R_2^{2n-2} R_2^{2n+2})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup [\alpha | \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n])], \\
 & \quad \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', \alpha)).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n-1) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} R_2^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n) \cup N') \\
 = & R_2^{2n-2} \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-\alpha} \text{ bracket } (\text{del}(S, [\alpha, 2n-2]) \cup S', \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_2^{2n-\alpha-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del}(S, [\alpha, 2n-2]) \cup S', \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N')
 \end{aligned}$$

This is an expansion of $\text{bracket}(S, N) \text{ bracket}(S', N')$ with respect to $2n-1$ and $2n$. Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{ bracket } ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } ([2n-2 | N], 2n) \cup N') \\
 = & \sum_{\alpha \in S} R_2^{2n-1} R_2^{2n-\alpha-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, \alpha) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{[i,j] \subset S} R_2^{2n-i-2} R_2^{2n-j-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } ([2n-2 | S], [i, j]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \\
 & \quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{i \in S} S_{2n-2} R_2^{2n-i-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del}([2n-2 | S], i) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N')
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i \in S} S_{2n-1} R_{2n-i-2} \text{ bracket}(\text{del}([2n-2 | S], i) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (S \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}([2n-2 | N], [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \text{bracket} ([2n-2 | S] \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}([2n-2 | N], 2n-1) \cup N') \\
 & = \sum_{i \in S} R_{2n-2} R_{2n-i-2} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, i) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_{2n-2} S_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (S \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} R_{2n-i-2} R_{2n-j} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}([2n-2 | S], [i, j]) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \\
 & \quad \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{i \in S} S_{2n-2} R_{2n-i} \text{ bracket}(\text{del}([2n-2 | S], i) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + \sum_{i \in S} S_{2n} R_{2n-i-2} \text{ bracket}(\text{del}([2n-2 | S], i) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the results.

THEOREM 3.14. *Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 1, i_0 = 0, i_{n-1} = 2n-2, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n-1, S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$. And let $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $m \geq 1, i'_0 = 2n-2, i'_1 = 2n-1, i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k-1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m, S' \cap N' = [], S' \cup N' = [2n-2, 2n-1, 2n, \dots, 2n+2m-1]$ and if $m \geq 2$ then $i'_2 > 2n$. Then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \{ R_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \} \\
 & = \text{bracket}(S, N) \text{ bracket}(S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \{ R_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \} \\
 & = R_{2n-2} R_{2n-1} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 & + R_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del}(N, 2n) \cup N')
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_{2n-2} R_{2n-1} R_{2n-i} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n-1), \\
 & \quad \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del}(N', 2n)) \\
 & + R_{2n-2} R_{2n-1} R_{2n-2} \text{ bracket} (\text{del}(S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del}(S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 & \quad \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del}(N', 2n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-2} R_{2n-i}^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n-2]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-2} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &= \text{bracket } (S, N) R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \text{bracket } (S, N) R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S', 2n-2), \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &= \text{bracket } (S, N) \text{ bracket } (S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the results.

THEOREM 3.15. *Let $S = [i_0, i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 1$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_{n-1} = 2n-2$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$. And let $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $m \geq 2$, $i'_0 = 2n-2$, $i'_1 = 2n-1$, $i'_2 = 2n$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $S' \cap N' = []$ and $S' \cup N' = [2n-2, 2n-1, 2n, \dots, 2n+2m-1]$. Then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \{ R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \\
 &+ R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup N') \} \\
 &= \text{bracket } (S, N) \text{ bracket } (S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & = R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n)) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, 2n-1)) \cup N'\} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & = R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1} \text{ bracket } (S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + \sum_{i \in \text{del}(S, 2n-2)} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, 2n-2]) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & + R_1^{2n-2} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, 2n-2) \cup S', \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n])) \cup N'\} \\ & = \text{bracket } (S, N) \text{ bracket } (S', N') \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the results.

THEOREM 3.16. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}]$ and $N = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $i_0 = 0$, $i_{n-1} < 2n-2$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq 2k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n]$. And let $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $m \geq 1$, $i'_0 = 2n-2$, $i'_1 = 2n-1$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k-1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $S' \cap N' = []$, $S' \cup N' = [2n-2, 2n-1, 2n, \dots, 2n+2m-1]$ and if $m \geq 2$ then $i'_2 > 2n$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \text{del } (N, 2n-1) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\ & + R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \text{del } (N, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n))\} \\ & = \text{bracket } (S, N) \text{ bracket } (S', N'). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \text{del } (N, 2n-1) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\ & + R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \text{del } (N, 2n-2) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n))\} \\ & = R_2^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \text{del } (N, 2n-1) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), N \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), N \cup \text{del } (N', 2n))
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i \in S} R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} R_{2n-i}^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_1^{2n-2} R_1^{2n-1} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_{2n-j}^j \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, j]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_2^{2n-2} R_{2n-i-1}^i S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} R_{2n-i}^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-2), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_2^{2n-2} S_{2n-1} R_1^{2n-1} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset S} R_1^{2n-1} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_{2n-j}^j \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [i, j]) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_1^{2n-1} R_{2n-i-1}^i R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-1, 2n]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_1^{2n-1} R_{2n-i-1}^i S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_1^{2n-1} S_{2n-1} R_{2n-i}^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', 2n-1), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ R_1^{2n-1} S_{2n-1} R_1^{2n-2} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \in S} R_1^{2n-1} R_1^{2n-2} R_{2n-i}^i \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i) \cup \text{del } (S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del } (N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del } (N', 2n))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ R_1^{2n-1} R_1^{2n-2} S_{2n} \text{ bracket } (S \cup \text{del}(S', [2n-2, 2n-1]), \\
 &\quad \text{del}(N, [2n-1, 2n]) \cup \text{del}(S', 2n)) \\
 &= \text{bracket}(S, N) \text{ bracket}(S', N')
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the results.

Finally we shall discuss the defining relations of the form $h_i(S) h_j(S') = \dots$.

THEOREM 3.17. Let $S_n = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n]$, $N_n = [j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n]$, $S' = [i'_0, i'_1, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $i \geq 0, n \geq 0, m \geq 0, i_0 = i, i'_0 = i + 2n + 1, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i_0 + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n, i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_0 + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m, S_n \cap N_n = []$, $S' \cap N' = []$, $S_n \cup N_n = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n + 1]$ and $S' \cup N' = [i + 2n + 1, i + 2n + 2, i + 2n + 3, \dots, i + 2n + 2m + 3]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket } (S_n \cup \text{del}(S', i + 2n + 1), \text{del}(N_n, i + 2n + 1) \cup N') \\
 &= \text{bracket}(S_n, N_n) \text{ bracket}(S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket } (S_n \cup \text{del}(S', i + 2n + 1), \text{del}(N_n, i + 2n + 1) \cup N') \\
 &= \text{bracket}([i + 2n + 1 \mid S_n \cup \text{del}(S', i + 2n + 1)], [i + 2n + 1 \mid \text{del}(N_n, i + 2n + 1) \cup N']) \\
 &= \text{bracket}(S_n, N_n) \text{ bracket}(S', N').
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. This Theorem means that $h_i(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) h_{i+2k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p) = 0$ for each $i \geq 0, k \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$.

THEOREM 3.18. Let $S = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+2}]$ satisfying that $i \geq 0, n \geq 0, i_1 \geq i + 2, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k + 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n, S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [i + 2, i + 3, i + 4, \dots, i + 2n + 3]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket } ([i, i \mid S], N) \\
 &= R_i \text{ bracket}([i, i + 1 \mid S], N) + \sum_{\alpha \in N} (R_{i-\alpha}^i)^2 \text{ bracket}([\alpha \mid S], \text{del}(N, \alpha)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If there exist an index q such that $i_q = i + 2q + 1$ then the summation is restricted as $\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in N \\ i < \alpha < i + 2p + 1}}$, where p is the least index q such that $i_q = i + 2q + 1$.

PROOF. By Corollary 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \text{ bracket } ([i, i \mid S], N) \\
 &= \sum_{[\alpha, \beta, \gamma] \subset N} R_{i-\alpha}^i R_{i-\beta}^i R_{i-\gamma}^i \text{ bracket}(S, [i \mid \text{del}(N, [\alpha, \beta, \gamma])]) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} R_{i-\alpha}^{i+1} \text{ bracket}([i, i \mid S], \text{del}(N, \alpha))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in N} R_{\beta-\alpha}^2 (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket } (S, \text{del } (N, [\alpha, \beta]))$$

Since $i_k > i$ for each k , $\text{bracket } (S, [i \mid \text{del } (N, [\alpha, \beta, \gamma])]) = 0$. By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &= R_i^{i+1} \sum_{\alpha \in N} R_{\alpha-i-1}^{i+1} \text{ bracket } ([i \mid S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket } ([\alpha \mid S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)) \\ &= R_i^{i+1} \text{ bracket } ([i, i+1 \mid S], N) + \sum_{\alpha \in N} (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket } ([\alpha \mid S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

We assume that there exist an index q such that $i_q = i + 2q + 1$ and p is the least such index. If $\alpha \geq i + 2p + 1$ then $[\alpha \mid S]$ contains $p - 1$ items less than $i + 2p + 1$ and $\text{del } (N, \alpha)$ contains p items less than $i + 2p + 1$. So we have that $\text{bracket } ([\alpha \mid S], \text{del } (N, \alpha)) = 0$ in such a case. Then we have the results.

REMARK. This is proved in [6 : Theorem 4.2].

THEOREM 3.19. Let $S = [i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 0$, $i \geq 0$, $i_0 = i$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k - 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n + 1]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &d \text{ bracket } (S, [i + 2n + 3, i + 2n + 3 \mid N]) \\ &= R_i^{i+2n+2} \text{ bracket } (S, [i + 2n + 2, i + 2n + 3 \mid N]) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n+1} (R_{i+2n-i_p+3}^{i+2n+2})^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p \mid N]), \end{aligned}$$

where s is the largest index q such that $i_q = i + 2q - 1$ ($1 \leq q \leq n + 1$).

PROOF. By Corollary 2.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &d \text{ bracket } (S, [i + 2n + 3, i + 2n + 3 \mid N]) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in S} (R_{i+2n-\alpha+3}^i)^2 \sum_{k \in S} R_{\alpha-k}^k \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, [k, \alpha]), N) \\ &+ R_i^{i+2n+2} \text{ bracket } (S, [i + 2n + 2, i + 2n + 3 \mid N]) \\ &= R_i^{i+2n+2} \text{ bracket } (S, [i + 2n + 2, i + 2n + 3 \mid N]) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in S} (R_{i+2n-\alpha+3}^i)^2 \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S, \alpha), [\alpha \mid N]) \end{aligned}$$

If $p < s$ then $\text{del } (S, i_p)$ contains $s - 1$ items less than $i + 2s - 1$ and $[i_p \mid N]$ contains items less than $i + 2s - 1$. So in this case $\text{bracket } (\text{del } (S, i_p), [i_p \mid N]) = 0$. Then we have the results.

REMARK. This is proved in [7 : Theorem 2].

The proofs of the following Theorems are essentially same. So we omit these proofs.

THEOREM 3.20. Let $S_n = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$, $N_n = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$, $S' = [i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 2$, $i \geq 0$, $i_1 = i$, $i_n = i + 2n - 3$, $i'_1 = i + 2n - 2$,

$i'_2 = i + 2n - 1$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k - 3$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_1 + 2k - 3$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $S_n \cap N_n = []$, $S_n \cup N_n = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n - 1]$, $S' \cap N' = []$ and $S' \cup N' = [i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1, i + 2n, \dots, i + 2n + 2m - 3]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{ R_1^{i+2n-3} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S_n, i + 2n - 3) \cup \text{del } (S', i + 2n - 1), \\ & \quad \text{del } (N_n, [i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1] \cup N') \\ & + R_2^{i+2n-3} \text{ bracket } (\text{del } (S_n, i + 2n - 3) \cup \text{del } (S', i + 2n - 2), \\ & \quad \text{del } (N_n, [i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1]) \cup N') \\ & + \text{bracket } (\text{del } (S_n, i + 2n - 3), [i + 2n - 3 \mid \text{del } (N_n, [i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1])]) \\ & \quad \times \text{bracket } ([i + 2n - 3, i + 2n - 3 \mid \text{del } (S', [i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1])], N') \} \\ & = \text{bracket } (S_n, N_n) \text{ bracket } (S', N') \\ & + \text{bracket } (\text{del } (S_n, i_n), [i_n \mid \text{del } (N_n, [j_{n-1}, j_n])]) \\ & \quad \times \{ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq m} (R_{j'_p - i_n}^{i'_p})^2 \text{ bracket } ([j'_p \mid \text{del } (S', [i'_1, i'_2])], \text{del } (N', j'_p)) \} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.21. Let $S_n = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $N_n = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$, $S' = [i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 2$, $i \geq 0$, $i_1 = i$, $i_n = i + 2n - 3$, $i'_1 = i + 2n - 3$, $i'_2 = i + 2n - 2$, $i'_3 = i + 2n$ if $m \geq 3$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq n$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_1 + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq m$, $S_n \cap N_n = []$, $S_n \cup N_n = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n - 1]$, $S' \cap N' = []$ and $S' \cup N' = [i + 2n - 3, i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1, \dots, i + 2n + 2m - 4]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \text{bracket } (S_n \cup \text{del } (S', i + 2n - 2), \text{del } (N_n, i + 2n - 2) \cup N') \\ & = \text{bracket } (S_n, N_n) \text{ bracket } (S', N'). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.22. Let $S_n = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$, $N_n = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$, $S' = [i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_m]$ and $N' = [j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_m]$ satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 3$, $i \geq 0$, $i_1 = i$, $i_n = i + 2n - 3$, $i'_1 = i + 2n - 3$, $i'_2 = i + 2n - 2$, $i'_3 = i + 2n - 1$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq n$, $i'_{k-1} < i'_k \leq i'_1 + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq m$, $S_n \cap N_n = []$, $S_n \cup N_n = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n - 1]$, $S' \cap N' = []$ and $S' \cup N' = [i + 2n - 3, i + 2n - 2, i + 2n - 1, \dots, i + 2n + 2m - 4]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \{ R_1^{i+2n-3} \text{ bracket } (S_n \cup \text{del } (S', [i'_1, i'_3]), \text{del } (N_n, [j_{n-1}, j_n]) \cup N') \\ & + R_2^{i+2n-3} \text{ bracket } (S_n \cup \text{del } (S', [i'_1, i'_2]), \text{del } (N_n, [j_{n-1}, j_n]) \cup N') \} \\ & = \text{bracket } (S_n, N_n) \text{ bracket } (S', N'). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.23. Let $S = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $n \geq 3$, $i \geq 0$, $i_1 = i$, $i_2 = i + 1$, $i_3 = i + 3$, $i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq n$, $S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + 2n - 1]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{ bracket } ([i, i+1 \mid \text{del}(S, i+3)], [i+2 \mid N]) \\
 &= \text{bracket} ([i, i+1], [i+2, i+3]) \text{ bracket } (S, N) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(N, i+2)} \{ (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 (R_{i+1}^{i+1})^2 + (R_{i+2}^i)^2 (R_{\alpha-i-1}^{i+1})^2 \} \\
 &\quad \times \text{bracket} (\text{del}([\alpha \mid S], [i, i+1, i+3]), \text{del}(N, [i+2, \alpha])).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.24. Let $S = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $i \geq 0, n \geq 3, i_1 = i, i_2 = i+1, i_3 = i+2, i_4 \geq i+4$ if $n \geq 4, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i_1 + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq n, S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [i, i+1, i+2, \dots, i+2n-1]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \text{ bracket} (\text{del}([i, i+1 \mid S], i+2), [i+3 \mid N]) \\
 &= \text{bracket} ([i, i+1], [i+2, i+3]) \text{ bracket} (S, N) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in \text{del}(N, i+3)} \{ (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 (R_{i+1}^{i+1})^2 + (R_{i+2}^i)^2 (R_{\alpha-i-1}^{i+1})^2 \} \\
 &\quad \times \text{bracket} (\text{del}([\alpha \mid S], [i, i+1, i+2]), \text{del}(N, [i+3, \alpha])).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.25. Let $S = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $N = [j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n]$ satisfying that $i \geq 0, n \geq 4, i_1 = i, i_2 = i+1, i_3 = i+2, i_4 = i+3, i_{k-1} < i_k \leq i_1 + 2k - 3$ for each $2 \leq k \leq n, S \cap N = []$ and $S \cup N = [i, i+1, i+2, \dots, i+2n-1]$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d \{ R_{i+1}^{i+1} \text{ bracket} ([i \mid \text{del}(S, i+3)], N) \\
 &+ R_{i+2}^{i+1} \text{ bracket} ([i \mid \text{del}(S, i+2)], N) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 \text{ bracket} ([i+1, \alpha \mid S], [i, i+2, i+3]), \text{del}(N, \alpha) \} \\
 &= \text{bracket} ([i, i+1], [i+2, i+3]) \text{ bracket} (S, N) \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha \in N} \sum_{\beta \in \text{del}(N, \alpha)} (R_{\alpha-i}^i)^2 (R_{\beta-i-1}^{i+1})^2 \text{ bracket} ([\alpha, \beta \mid \text{del}(S, [i, i+1, i+2, i+3])], \\
 &\quad \text{del}(N, [\alpha, \beta])).
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] John ALLEN, *Anatomy of LISP*, McGraw-Hill, 1978.
- [2] W. F. CLOCKSIN and C. S. MELLISH, *Programming in Prolog*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [3] Donald E. KNUTH, *THE ART OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING*, vol. 1, second edition, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1973.
- [4] J. Peter MAY, *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras*, Dissertation, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1964.
- [5] Osamu NAKAMURA, *On the Cohomology of May Complex I*, Research Reports of Kochi University, vol. 32, Natural Science, Kochi, Japan, 1984, 97-110.
- [6] ———, *On the Cohomology of May Complex II*, Research Reports of Kochi University, vol. 33, Natural Science, Kochi, Japan, 1985, 73-124.

- [7] ———, *On the Cohomology of May Complex III*, Research Reports of Kochi University, vol. 35, Natural Science, Kochi, Japan, 1986, 129-139.
- [8] Douglas C. RAVENEL, *A NOVICE'S GUIDE TO THE ADAMS-NOVIKOV SPECTRAL SEQUENCE*, preprint
- [9] Robert J. RADER, *Advanced Software Design Techniques*, Petrocelli Books Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1978.
- [10] Niklaus WIRTH, *ALGORITHMS + DATA STRUCTURES = PROGRAMS*, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1976.
- [11] Brian W. KERNIGHAN and Dennis M. RITCHIE, *The C programming language*, Bell Telephone Laboratories, 1978.

(Manuscript received: September 29, 1987)

(Published: December 28, 1987)