# Soils and the Distribution of Dryobalanops aromatica and D. lanceolata in Mixed Dipterocarp Forest. - A Case Study at Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia

Hideaki HIRAI Hiroshi HIROTANI Katsutoshi SAKURAI Kazuhiko OGINO Hua Seng LEE

Faculty of Agriculture, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya 321, Japan Hiroshi MATSUMURA Shin-Nihon Kishoh kaiyo, Osaka 550, Japan College of Agriculture, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790, Japan Faculty of Agriculture, Kochi University, Nankoku 783, Japan College of Agriculture, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790, Japan Forest Department Headquaters, Forest Department Sarawak, Kuching 93660, Sarawak, Malaysia

ABSTRACT Dryobalanops aromatica and D. lanceolata (hereafter denoted as Da and Dl, respectively) are major Dipterocarp species constituting an emergent layer in the Bornean Rain Forest, and their distributions are in proximity, but never overlap. Thus, we examined the relationship between the distribution of these species and soils with reference to the soil physico-chemical, morphological, and microbiological properties.

Based on the topographical investigation, was located only on the upper slope, whereas Dl occupied on both upper and lower slopes. The soil solums examined around these two species were always deeper than 1.3 meter. Soil texture was always sandy for the soils around Da, on the other hand, ranging from sandy to clayey for those around Dl. Furthermore, the following differences were found; 1) organic layer was thicker in the Da soils, 2) soil color of subsurface horizons was duller in the Dl soils, and 3) only the Dl soils contained coarse fragments. 4) the amounts of exchangeable cations such as Ca and/or Mg and total oxides' content of K2O, MgO, and P2O5 were higher for the Dl soils, 5) the amounts of exchangeable Al and H were higher in the Da soils, 6) proportion of air phase and volume of macropore, and the value of sand content were higher for the Da soils, showing that the Da soils easily attain to drier soil moisture condition after rainfall, which was proved by monitoring soil water potential, 7) ten times as much fungal colonies were detected in the Da soils as compared to the Dl soils.

These results suggest that Da emerges on a sandy soil with a dominance of drier soil moisture condition for some period and a low nutrient status with high acidity on the reflection of stable pedogenetic processes, while Dl stands on either clayey or sandy soil with a dominance of reductive condition for a certain period and relatively high nutrient status with low acidity, reflecting weak pedogenetic processes. It was well-known that shifting cultivators utilize a land where Dl dominates as a productive crop field. Their traditional way of land selection proves to be rationale from the standpoint of soil science.

Key Words: soil morphology / soil physico-chemical properties / mixed dipterocarp forest / Sarawak/ tropical rain forest / Dryobalanops aromatica / Dryobalanops lanceolata

There has been concerned with the relationship between soils and vegetation from the standpoint of ecology and forestry. In tropical rain forests, particularly, mixed Dipterocarp forests, such studies has been conducted, but it remains to be discussed about the relationship between soils and vegetation. There are two different ways of thinking about the relationship, that is, 1) there is some relation (Richards, 1952; Ashton, 1976; Baillie, 1987), 2) no relation (Poore, 1968; Wong & Whitmore, 1970; Austin et al., 1972). However, these comparative studies have been carried out in a huge scale where significant differences in vegetation was included, so that the relationship between their soils and the distribution of vegetation could not be clearly elucidated. Moreover, the distribution of individual tree



Fig. 1. Location of the study site of Lambir Hills, Sarawak, Malaysia (cited from Yamakura *et al.* 1995).

species and soils have been little focused.

As to the soil characteristics in the forest, soil chemical properties were always analyzed, but its moisture characteristics and profile description have been little examined. It is well-known in Japan that soil physical properties and soil structure are closely related to the floor vegetation. Thus, we tried to find out the soil-vegetation relationship with respect to physical and morphological properties as well as chemical properties.

Among the wide variety of tree species, *D. aromatica* and *D. lanceolata* (hereafter denoted as *Da* and *Dl*, respectively) were selected for our study. They are the most dominant and prominent species seen in the Lambir Hills National Park in Sarawak, East Malaysia, and the segregation has already been recognized through the species identification in the 52 ha permanent research plot (Itoh, 1995). Although he examined on germination and seedling establishment of the said two species (Itoh, 1995), the soil-vegetation relationship has not yet been done.

Furthermore, shifting cultivators there regard Dl as an indicator plant for a productive crop field (Yamakura & Ogino, personal communication), but its reason has not yet been elucidated clearly with a view point of soil science.

In order to understand the reason why these two species show a segregate distribution and why shifting cultivators utilize a land with Dl stand, a pedological and a microbiological approaches were conducted. In the present study, therefore, the study site is selected within a relatively narrow area where one of these species is dominant as an emergent tree.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Area and Soils**

Lambir Hills National Park (4° 12'N, 114°00'E) is located some 24 Kilometers south of Miri town, in Miri Division, Sarawak, Malaysia (Fig. 1). It covers an area of 6952 hectors which consists of the central portion of the Lambir Hills with a maximum altitude of 465 m. Vegetation is mainly composed of mixed Dipterocarp forests. Parent materials are sandstone and/or shale, derived from tertiary period sedimentary rock. In Miri, mean annual temperature and precipitation is 27.2°C and 2927mm, respectively (Watson, 1985). Human impact on the vegetation and soils has been avoided as much as



Fig. 2. Location of sampling sites in Lambir Hills National Park.

possible, because this park has an outstanding regional and global conservation significance for its extraordinary species-rich forest.

Four soil profiles each from *D. aromatica* (*Da1-Da4*) and *D. lanceolata* (*Dl1-Dl4*)(Fig. 2) were described in texture, soil color, soil structure, consistency, mottles, cutan, gravels, roots, and soil hardness. After morphological investigation of the profiles, soil samples were taken for soil physicochemical and microbiological analyses. Furthermore, additional three profiles (*Dl5-Dl7*) were described to cover the wide variation of soils under *Dl*, but not used for the further analysis.

Soil general physico-chemical properties were examined for soil samples collected from three layers of each pedon at the depth of 0 to 10 cm, 10 to 30 cm, and 30 to 50 cm. Total chemical composition and mineralogical properties were analyzed for pedological horizons.

#### **Analytical Methods**

## Soil chemical and microbiological properties

Soil samples were air-dried and crushed to pass through a 2mm sieve. Analyses were performed using the following methods.

- 1) pH was measured with a glass electrode using a soil to solution (H<sub>2</sub>O or 1M KCl) ratio of 1:5.
- 2) Exchangeable cations and CEC:
- (a) Exchangeable bases were extracted with 1M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc at pH 7.0 twice, using a soil to solution ratio of 1:5 and then the amounts of Ca and Mg in the extract were determined by atomic absorption spectrometry and Na and K by flame photometry (Shimadzu, AA-640-12).
- (b) Exchangeable Al and H were extracted with 1M KCl at a soil to solution ratio of 1:5 and the amount of Al was determined by ICP-AES (Seiko, SPS-1200), and the amount of exchangeable H was calculated after the determination of exchangeable acidity with 0.02M NaOH.
- (c) CEC: After replacement of exchangeable bases, washings with deionized water and ethanol and replacement of  $NH_4^+$  with 10% NaCl were successively performed by centrifugation.  $NH_4$ -N

Soil	Hori- zon	Depth (cm)	Soil color (moistureª)	Tex- ture	Structure <sup>b</sup>	Mottling	Roots <sup>c</sup> / stone	Boundary <sup>d</sup>
Da1	O A BA Bw Bt	9-0 0-2/7 -15 -26 -44/48 -80/83	7.5YR2/3 (dm) 8.75YR4/3 (dm) 7.5YR5/6 (dm) 7.5YR5/8 (dm) 7.5YR5/8 (dm)	CL CL CL LiC LiC	2vfgr 1mgr,1msbk 1msbk 1csbk 1-2vcabk		mvf/none mc/none mc/none mc/none ff/none	cs cs cs gs aw
Da2	CB O A BA Bw1 Bw2 Bt	-130+ 17-0 0-10 -23/32 -65/70 -86/93 -100/110	2.5-5YR5/8 (dm) 10YR5/6 (dm) 10YR6/8 (dm) 7.5YR5/8 (dm) 7.5YR5/8 (dm) 7.5YR6/8 (dm)	HC SCL SCL SCL CL LiC	1vfgr,2msbk 1msbk 1vcsbk 1csbk 1vcabk		mvf/none mm/none cm-c/none ff/none ff-m/none	cw cs ds cs
Da <b>3</b>	O A1 BA BW BC	5-0 0-8 -30 -53 -116 -180+	10YR4/4 (dm) 10YR5/6 (dm) 10YR5/8 (dm) 10YR6/8 (dm) 7.5YR6/8 (dm)	SL SL SCL SCL ) SCL	1vfgr 1m-cabk 1abk 1vcabk 1vcabk		mm-c/none mm-c/none fvf-m/none ff-m/none fvf/none	e cs e cs gs ds
Da4	O A BA Bw BC	5-0 0-5 -31 -69 -115/125	7.5YR3/4 (dm 10YR6/8 (dm) 8.75YR5/8 (dm) 5YR6/8 (dm)	) SL SL SL SCL	1vfgr 1m-cabk 1abk 1cabk		mm/none mm-c/none cm-c/none ff-c/few	cs e gs gs

 Table 1. Morphological properties of the soils developed near D. aromatica.

a) dm: dry moist.

b) Grade, 1: weak, 2: moderate, 3: strong. Size, f: fine, m: medium, c: coarse, vc: very coarse.

Type, gr: granular, cr: crumb, sbk: subangular blocky, abk: angular blocky.

c) gs: gradual smooth, cs: clear smooth, cw: clear wavy, ds: diffuse smooth.

d) Abundance, m: many, c: common, f: few. Size, vf: very fine, f: fine, m: medium, c:coarse.

was determined by the Kjeldahl distillation and titration method.

3) Particle size distribution was determined by pipette method.

4) Total Carbon and Nitrogen were determined by a dry combustion method using NC-analyzer (Sumika Chem. Anal. Service, Sumigraph NC-80).

5) Ten major elemental oxides (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, MgO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) were analyzed by the method of Ochi and Okashita (1987), using XRF (Shimadzu VF-320A). The total contents of 10 elements in the form of oxide fell in the range of 98.5 to 102 %.

6) Available phosphorus was determined by the modified Bray No. 2 method.

7) Fungal counts in every soil horizon were examined by the plate count method.

### Soil physical properties

For the determination of three phase distribution, hydraulic conductivity, and moisture characteristics, soil samples were collected by 100cc core cylinders. The volume and weight of sum of water and solid phase were measured by voluminometer (Daiki Rika Kogyo, DIK-1120) and balance, respectively. Then, they were saturated with 0.05 M CaSO<sub>4</sub>, and saturated hydraulic conductivity was determined by the constant head method (Daiki Rika Kogyo, DIK-4000).

Subsequently, water holding capacity was measured for 0.98 kPa and 3.16 kPa by the sand column method (Daiki Rika Kogyo, DIK-3520), for 9.8 to 1553 kPa by the pressure plate method (Daiki Rika Kogyo, DIK-3420). After oven-drying for 24 hours, the weight of the solid phase was measured, and

Diff. O 4-0 A 0-6 10YR2/3 (dm) LiC 1mgr.1msbk mvf.none cs Btl -60 7.5YR5/6 (dm) LiC 1mgr.1msbk fc/none cs Btl -60 7.5YR5/6 (dm) LiC 1msbk fc/none cs Btl -60 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 1cbbk reduced mottles fc/none gs Btl3g -130 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 1-2vcabk reduced mottles fc/none aw D/2 0 4-0 A 0-5 10YR5/8 (dm) SL 1fsbk mm/none cw Bw1 -35 10YR5/8 (dm) SL 1wcsbk ff/abun. ds BC1g -103 10YR5/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk ff/abun. ds BC2g -135 10YR5/8 (dm) LiC 1vcsbk reduced mottles fv/few cs Bc2g -53/63 10YR5/8 (dm) LiC 1vcsbk reduced mottles fv/few cs Bw2 -65 10YR5/8 (dm) SL 1wcsbk ff/abun. ds BC1g -103 10YR5/8 (dm) SL 1wcsbk ff/abun. ds BC2g -135 10YR6/4 (dm) SL 1wcsbk reduced mottles fv/few cs Bw2 -65 10YR8/8 (dm) SL 1wcsbk reduced mottles fv/few cs Bc2g -53/63 10YR6/4 (dm) SL 1wcsbk m/c/none cs G1 -75/93 2.5YK3/4 (dm) SL 1wcsbk cm/c/mom cs Bw -35 10YR6/4 (dm) SL 1wcsbk cm/cmmon cs Bw -35 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk m/c/none cw Bcg -51/63 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk mm/common cs Btl -60 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk mm/common cs Btl -60 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk cm/cm/cmmon cs Btl -60 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk cm/cm/cmmon cs Btl -78 10YR6/4 (dm) LiC 2wsbk cm/cm/cmmon cs Btl -70 10YR6/4 (dm) HC 1wcabk reduced mottles fvf-m/cmmon cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk cv-f-few cw Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -77/13 10YR5/4 (dm) HC 2wcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Btl -77/13 10YR5/3 (dm) L 2mcr-2xebk reduced mottles ff-c/no	Soil	Hori-	Depth (cm)	Soil color	Tex-	Structure <sup>b</sup>	Mottling	Roots <sup>c</sup> / I	Boundary
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dl1	0	4-0	(moisture)	ture			stone	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011	Ă	0-6	10YR2/3(dm)	LiC	2-3f-msbk		mvf/none	cs
Bitl         -60         7.5YR5/6 (dm)         LiC         1mbk         fc/none         cs           Bi2g         -77         7.5YR5/8 (dm)         HC         1csbk         reduced mottles         fc/none         gs           DI2         O         4-0         1-32vcabk         reduced mottles         fc/none         cs           Bi3g         -130         7.5YR5/8 (dm)         SCL         1fsbk         mm/none         cs           Bw1         -35         10YR5/8 (dm)         SCL         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         fr/formon         cs           BC1g         -103         10YR5/8 (dm)         SCL         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         fr/f bw         cs           DI3         O         4-0         -65         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         fr/f few         cs           Bv2         -53/63         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         fr/f w         gw           G2         -135+         2.5Y6/3 (dm)         SL         massive         Gleyed horizon         fc/f w         gw           G1         -75/93         2.5Y6/3 (dm)         Slc         zvabk         cvf-m/f ew         <		BA	-31	8.75YR4/3 (dm)	LiC	1mgr,1ms	bk	fc/none	cs
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bt1	-60	7.5YR5/6 (dm)	LiC	1msbk		fc/none	cs
Bi3g       -130       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       HC       1-2vcabk       reduced mottles       fc/none       aw         DI2       O       4-0       -05       10VR4/6 (dm)       SL       1fsbk       mm/none       cw         Bw1       -35       10YR5/8 (dm)       SCL       1vcsbk       ff/abun.       ds         BW2       -65       10YR5/8 (dm)       LiC       1csbk       reduced mottles       ff/few         DI3       O       4-0       -10       10YR5/8 (dm)       LiC       1csbk       reduced mottles       vf-ffew       cs         DI3       O       4-0		Bt2g	-77	7.5YR5/8 (dm)	HC	1csbk	reduced mottles	fc/none	gs
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bt3g	-130	7.5YR5/8 (dm)	HC	1-2vcabk	reduced mottles	fc/none	aw
A       0-5       10YR8/6 (dm)       SL       1fsbk       mm/none       cw         Bw1       -35       10YR5/8 (dm)       SCL       2mcsbk       f//abun.       ds         BC1g       -103       10YR5/8 (dm)       SCL       1vcsbk       reduced mottles       fr//abun.       ds         D/3       0       4.0       LiC       1csbk       reduced mottles       fr//abun.       ds         D/3       0       4.0       LiC       1csbk       reduced mottles       fr//few       cs         D/3       0       4.0       LiC       1csbk       reduced mottles       fr//none       cw         B/2       -53/63       10YR6/6 (dm)       LS       1msbk       cf/none       cw         B/2       -57/93       2.5Y6/3 (dm)       SL       massive       Gleyed horizon       nor/common       gs         D/4       O       4.04       10YR6/6 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mt//common       gs         B/2       -102       10YR6/6 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mt/c/common       gs         B/2       -102       10YR6/6 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mt/c/common       cw         D/5       Q	D <b>l2</b>	0	4-0						
bw1        5         10YR5/8 (dm)         SCL         2mcsbk         cf/common         cs           BW2         -65         10YR5/8 (dm)         SLL         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         ft/abun.         ds           BC2g         -135         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         1vcsbk         reduced mottles         ft/few         cs           D/3         O         4-0         -         -         reduced mottles         ft/few         cs           D/3         O         4-0         -         -         -         cs/few         cw           Bwg         -25/30         10YR5/6 (dm)         LS         2msbk         cvf/few         cw           Bcg         -53/63         10YR6/4 (dm)         SL         1vcsbk         massive         Gleyed horizon         fc/few         gw           G2         -135         2.5Y6/3 (dm)         SiCL         2msbk         cvf/mice         ms/common         cs           Bw         -35         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         2csbk         mw/c/common         gs           Bt1         -00         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         2vcabk         cvf-m/few         cs           Bcg         -150		A D 1	0-5	10YR4/6 (dm)	SL	1fsbk		mm/none	CW
bW2         -65         10YR5/8 (dm)         SCL         1vcsbk         ff/abun.         ds           BC2g         -135         10YR5/8 (dm)         LiC         1csbk         reduced mottles $vf.f/few$ cs           D/3         O         4-0         reduced mottles $vf.f/few$ cs         reduced mottles $vf.f/few$ cs           D/3         O         4-0         starting         cs/f/few         cw         cs         cs/f/few         cw           Bwg         -25/30         10YR5/6 (dm)         LS         1msbk         cf/none         cw           Bvg         -53/63         10YR5/6 (dm)         SL         nussive         Gleyed horizon         fc/few         gw           G2         -135+         2.5Y6/3 (dm)         SL         massive         Gleyed horizon         none/none         cs           B4         0-4         10YR4/3 (dm)         SiCL         2msbk         mm/r.common         cs         gs           B1         -60         10YR6/6 (dm)         LiC         2csbk         cfm/refw         gs           B1         -60         10YR6/3 (dm)         LiC         2rsbk         mv-c/none         cw		BW1	-35	10YR5/8(dm)	SCL	2mcsbk		cf/common	cs
$ \begin{array}{c} BC1g & -103 & 10YR5/8 (dm) & LiC & 1csbk & reduced mottles fvf/few & cs \\ BC2g & -135 & 10YR6/6 (dm) & LiC & 1vcsbk & reduced mottles vf-f/few & Cw \\ A1 & 0-9/13 & 8.75YR3/4 (dm) & LS & 1msbk & cf/none & cw \\ Bvg & -25/30 & 10YR5/6 (dm) & LS & 1msbk & cf/none & cw \\ Bcg & -53/63 & 10YR6/4 (dm) & SL & 1vcsbk & cm/common & cs \\ GI & -75/93 & 2.5Y6/3 (dm) & SL & massive & Gleyed horizon & none/none \\ D/4 & O & 4-0 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		BW2	-65	10YR5/8(dm)	SCL	lvcsbk		ff/abun.	ds
DL2g = -1.35 = 101  Ke/6  (dm)  LiC = 1  vcsbk  reduced mottles   vf-f/ few $Dl3 = O = 4.0$ $A1 = 0-9/13 = 8.75  Kg/4  (dm) =  LS = 2  msbk  cv/ few  cw$ $Bwg = -25/30 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LS = 1  msbk  cf/ none  cw$ $Bcg = -53/63 = 107  Kg/4  (dm) =  SL = 1  vcsbk  cm/ common  cs$ $G1 = -75/93 = 2.576/3  (dm) =  SL = 1  massive  Gleyed horizon  fc/ few  gw$ $G2 = -135 + 2.575/3  (dm) =  SCL =  massive  Gleyed horizon  none/ none = 0$ $D/4 = O = 4.0$ $A = 0.4 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LiC = 2  csbk  mm/ none  cs$ $Bw = -35 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LiC = 2  csbk  mm/ reduced mottles  fr/ few  gs$ $Bt1 = -60 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LiC = 2  csbk  cvf-m/ few  cs$ $Bcg = -150 = 107  Kg/3  (dm) =  LiC = 2  mcsbk  reduced mottles  fr/ m/ew  cs$ $Bcg = -150 = 107  Kg/3  (dm) =  LiC = 2  mcsbk  reduced mottles  fr/ momon$ $D/5 = O = 2.0  A = -27/10 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LiC = 2  mcsbk  reduced mottles  fr/ m/ew  cs$ $Bcg = -150 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  LiC = 2  mcsbk  reduced mottles  fr/ few  cw$ $Bw = -85 = 7.57  Kg/8  (dm) =  LiC = 2  mcsbk  reduced mottles  fr/ momon = cw$ $Bw = -85 = 7.57  Kg/8  (dm) =  HC = 2  vcabk  cvf-c/ none  cw$ $Bcg = -115 = 107  Kg/6  (dm) =  HC = 2  csbk  cvf-c/ none  cs$ $Bt = -78 = 7.57  Kg/8  (dm) =  HC = 2  csbk   reduced mottles  ff-c/ none  cs$ $Bc = -150 + 107  Kg/8  (dm) =  HC = 2  vcabk   reduced mottles  ff-c/ none  cs$ $Bc = -150 + 107  Kg/8  (dm) =  HC = 2  vcabk   reduced mottles  ff-c/ none  cs$ $Bc = -150 + 107  Kg/8  (dm) =  HC = 2  vcabk   reduced mottles  ff-c/ none  cs$ $Bc = -150 + 107  Kg$		DC1g	-103	10YR5/8(dm)	LIC	lcsbk	reduced mottles	fvf/few	CS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	BC2g	-135	10YR6/6(dm)	LiC	1vcsbk	reduced mottles	vf-f/few	
All $0^{-7}/15^{-7}/15^{-7}/16^{-7}/10^{-7}/10^{-7}/16^{-7}/16^{-7}/16^{-7}/10^{-7}/10^{-7}/10^{-7}/10^{-7}/16^{-7}/16^{-7}/16^{-7}/10^$	Dß	O 41	4-0	975VD2/4 (dm)	IC	2		6.1.6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bwo	-25/20	10VPE/6 (dm)	LS	2msbk		cvt/tew	CW
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bcg	-53/63	$101 \text{K}_{3} / 0 (\text{dm})$	LJ	Imsbk		ct/none	CW
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		G1	-75/93	25V6/2 (dm)	SL	IVCSDK	Classification	cm/common	CS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		G2	-135+	2.510/3 (dm)	SCI	massive	Gleyed horizon	fc/few	gw
D/4       O       4-0         A       0-4       10YR4/3 (dm)       SiCL       2msbk       mm/none       cs         Bw       -35       10YR6/6 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mvf-c/common       gs         Bt1       -60       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2cabk       cf-m/few       cs         Bt2       -102       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2vcabk       cvf-m/few       cs         Bcg       -150       10YR6/3 (dm)       LiC       1vcabk       reduced mottles       fvf-m/common         D/5       O       2-0	DU	02	4.0	2.010/0 (uiii)	JCL	massive	Gleyed norizon	none/none	
Bw       -35       10YR6/6 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mth/common       gs         Bt1       -60       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       mth/common       gs         Bt2       -102       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2csbk       cf-m/few       cs         Bcg       -150       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2vcabk       ct/m/few       cs         Bcg       -150       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       fv/m/common         D/5       O       2-0	D14	A	4-0	10VR4/3 (dm)	SICT	2mchk			
Bit       -60       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2cabk       cf-m/few       gs         Bt2       -102       10YR6/4 (dm)       LiC       2vcabk       cvf-m/few       cs         Bcg       -150       10YR6/3 (dm)       LiC       2vcabk       cvf-m/few       cs         D/5       O       2-0		Bw	-35	10YR6/6(dm)	LiC	2cshk		mun/ none	cs
Bt2 $-102$ $10YR6/4$ (dm)LiC $2vcabk$ $cvf-m/few$ $cs$ Bcg $-150$ $10YR6/3$ (dm)LiC $1vcabk$ $reduced$ mottles $fvf-m/common$ D/5O $2-0$ $A$ $0-7/10$ $10YR5/4$ (dm)LiC $2fsbk$ $mv-c/none$ $cw$ BA $-25/30$ $10YR6/6$ (dm)LiC $2m-csbk$ $mvf-c/common$ $cw$ Bw $-85$ $7.5YR6/8$ (dm)HC $2vcabk$ $cvf-c/few$ $cw$ Bcg $-115$ $10YR6/6$ (dm)HC $1vcabk$ $reduced$ mottles $ff-c/abundant$ $gs$ Cbg $-160+$ $10YR6/6$ (dm)HC $2fsbk$ $mvf-c/none$ $cs$ BA $-30/33$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm)HC $2fsbk$ $cvf-c/none$ $gs$ Bt $-78$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm)HC $2tcsbk$ $cvf-c/none$ $gs$ Btg $-110$ $7.5YR5/8$ (dm)HC $2vcabk$ $reduced$ mottles $ff-c/none$ $cs$ BC $-135$ $10YR7/4$ (dm)HC $2vcabk$ $reduced$ mottles $ff-c/none$ $cs$ BC $-135$ $10YR7/4$ (dm)HC $2vcabk$ $reduced$ mottles $ff-m/abundant$ D/7O $3-0$ $A$ $0-5/7$ $10YR5/3$ (dm)L $2mcr-2csbk$ $mf-c/none$ $cs$ BA $-27/33$ $8.75YR6/8$ (dm)L $2cvcsbk$ $cf-c/none$ $gs$ $gs$ BW $-52/60$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm)L $2cvcsbk$ $cf-c/none$ $gs$ BW <t< td=""><td></td><td>Bt1</td><td>-60</td><td>10YR6/4 (dm)</td><td>LiC</td><td>2cabk</td><td></td><td>of m / for</td><td>gs</td></t<>		Bt1	-60	10YR6/4 (dm)	LiC	2cabk		of m / for	gs
Bcg-15010YR6/3 (dm)LiC1vcabkreduced mottles(Vr-m/rew)(csD/5O2-0A0-7/1010YR5/4 (dm)LiC2fsbkmv-c/ nonecwBA-25/3010YR6/6 (dm)LiC2m-csbkmvf-c/ commoncwBw-857.5YR6/8 (dm)HC2vcabkcvf-c/ fewcwBcg-11510YR6/6 (dm)HC1vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/abundantgsCbg-160+10YR6/6 (dm)HC1vcabkreduced mottlesnone/fewgsD/6O3-A0-710YR5/4 (dm)HC2fsbkmvf-c/ nonecsBA-30/337.5YR5/6 (dm)HC2fsbkcvf-c/ nonegsBt-787.5YR5/8 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/ nonecsBC-13510YR7/4 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/ nonecsBC-13510YR7/4 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-m/abundantD/7O3-0A0-5/710YR5/3 (dm)L2mcr-2csbkmf-c/ nonecsA0-5/710YR5/3 (dm)L2cvcabkcf-c/ nonecsgsBA-10/1310YR6/8 (dm)L2cvcsbkcf-c/ nonegsBW-52/607.5YR5/6 (dm)L1-2vcsbkcf-c/ nonegsBW-52/607.5YR5/6 (dm)L1-2vcsbkcf-c/ none <td></td> <td>Bt2</td> <td>-102</td> <td>10YR6/4 (dm)</td> <td>LiC</td> <td>2vcabk</td> <td></td> <td>cyf_m/fow</td> <td>gs</td>		Bt2	-102	10YR6/4 (dm)	LiC	2vcabk		cyf_m/fow	gs
$ DI5  O  2-0 \\ A  0-7/10  10YR5/4 (dm)  LiC  2fsbk \\ BA  -25/30  10YR6/6 (dm)  LiC  2m-csbk \\ Bw  -85  7.5YR6/8 (dm)  HC  2vcabk \\ Bcg  -115  10YR6/6 (dm)  HC  1vcabk  reduced mottles \\ Cbg  -160+  10YR6/6 (dm)  HC  1vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BA  -30/33  7.5YR5/6 (dm)  HC  2fsbk \\ Bt  -78  7.5YR5/8 (dm)  HC  2fsbk \\ Bt  -78  7.5YR5/8 (dm)  HC  2fsbk \\ Bc  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2csbk \\ Bc  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -10+  10YR7/6 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/4 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -135  10YR7/6 (dm)  HC  2vcabk  reduced mottles \\ BC  -137  10YR5/3 (dm)  L  2mcr-2csbk  mf-c/none  cs  ff/many  gir  ff-m/abundant \\ DI7  O  3-0  A  0-5/7  10YR5/3 (dm)  L  2c-vcsbk  cf-c/none  cs  Ff/many  gir  ff-m/abundant \\ DI7  O  3-0  A  0-5/7  10YR5/3 (dm)  L  2mcr-2csbk  cf-c/none  cs  gs  gs  ef_{10}-7)  ff-m/abundant \\ DI7  O  3-0  A  0-5/7  10YR5/3 (dm)  L  2mcr-2csbk  cf-c/none  cs  gs  gr  ff-c/none  cs  gs  gr  ff-c/none  cs  gs  gr  ff-c/none  cs  gs  gs  ff-c/none  gs  gs $		Bcg	-150	10YR6/3 (dm)	LiC	1vcabk	reduced mottles	fvf-m/common	65
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D <b>l</b> 5	0	2-0					,	
BA $-25/30$ $10YR6/6$ (dm) LiC $2m$ -csbk $mvf$ -c/nome cw Bw $-85$ $7.5YR6/8$ (dm) HC $2vcabk$ $cvf$ -c/few $cw$ Bcg $-115$ $10YR6/6$ (dm) HC $1vcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -c/abundant gs Cbg $-160+$ $10YR6/6$ (dm) HC $1vcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -c/abundant gs D/6 O $3-$ A $0-7$ $10YR5/4$ (dm) HC $2fsbk$ $mvf$ -c/none cs BA $-30/33$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm) HC $2fsbk$ $cvf$ -c/none gs Bt $-78$ $7.5YR5/8$ (dm) HC $2csbk$ $cvf$ -c/none gs Btg $-110$ $7.5YR6/8$ (dm) HC $2vcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -c/none cs BC $-135$ $10YR7/4$ (dm) HC $2vcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -c/none cs BC $-135$ $10YR7/4$ (dm) HC $2vcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -m/abundant D/7 O $3-0$ A $0-5/7$ $10YR5/3$ (dm) L $2mcr-2csbk$ $mf$ -c/none cs AB $-10/13$ $10YR6/8$ (dm) L $2cvcabk$ reduced mottles $ff$ -c/none cs BA $-27/33$ $8.75YR6/8$ (dm) L $2cvcabk$ $cf$ -c/none gs Bw $-52/60$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm) L $1-2vcsbk$ $cf$ -c/none gs BC $-65/95$ $7.5YR5/8$ (dm) SL $1vcsbk$ $ff$ -c/none gs BC $-65/95$ $7.5YR5/8$ $(dm)$ $S$ $single grain ff from gs$		А	0-7/10	10YR5/4 (dm)	LiC	2fsbk		my-c/none	CW
Bw-857.5YR6/8 (dm)HC2vcabkInter-fermion controlBcg-11510YR6/6 (dm)HC1vcabkreduced mottles $cvf-c/few$ $cw$ Cbg-160+10YR6/6 (dm)HC1vcabkreduced mottles $none/few$ $sreduced mottles$ Dl6O3 $sreduced mottles$ $none/few$ $sreduced mottles$ Dl6O3 $sreduced mottles$ $none/few$ Dl6O3 $sreduced mottles$ $sreduced mottles$ $sreduced none/few$ Dl6O3 $sreduced mottles$ $sreduced none/few$ Dl7O3-0Dl7O3-0Dl7O3-0Dl7O3-0A-5/710YR5/3 (dm)L2mcr-2csbkmf-c/nonecs		BA	-25/30	10YR6/6 (dm)	LiC	2m-csbk		myf-c/comm	on cw
Bcg-11510YR6/6 (dm)HC1vcabk massivereduced mottlesff-c/abundant none/fewgsDl6O3-A0-710YR5/4 (dm)HC2fsbkmvf-c/nonecsBA-30/337.5YR5/6 (dm)HC2fsbkcvf-c/nonegsBt-787.5YR5/8 (dm)HC2csbkcvf-c/nonegsBt-107.5YR6/8 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBC-13510YR7/4 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBC-13510YR7/6 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBC-13510YR7/6 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBC-160+10YR7/6 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBC-160+10YR7/6 (dm)HC2vcabkreduced mottlesff-c/nonecsBA-27/338.75YR6/8 (dm)L2mcr-2csbkmf-c/nonecsBA-27/338.75YR6/8 (dm)L2c-vcsbkcf-c/nonegsBW-52/607.5YR5/6 (dm)L1-2vcsbkcf-c/nonegsBC-65/957.5YR5/8 (dm)SL1vcsbkff-c/nonegsC-150+7.5YR6/8 (dm)SL1vcsbkff-c/nonegs		Bw	-85	7.5YR6/8 (dm)	HC	2vcabk		cvf-c/few	CW
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bcg	-115	10YR6/6 (dm)	HC	1vcabk	reduced mottles	ff-c/abundan	tos
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Cbg	-160+	10YR6/6 (dm)	HC	massive	reduced mottles	none/few	60
A       0-7       10YR5/4 (dm)       HC       2fsbk       mvf-c/none       cs         BA       -30/33       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       HC       2fsbk       cvf-c/none       gs         Bt       -78       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       HC       2csbk       cvf-c/none       gs         Btg       -110       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-c/none       cs         BC       -135       10YR7/4 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-many       gir         Bcg       -160+       10YR7/6 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-m/abundant         Dl7       O       3-0       -       -       -       -       -       -         A       0-5/7       10YR5/3 (dm)       L       2mcr-2csbk       mf-c/none       cs         AB       -10/13       10YR6/8 (dm)       L       2csbk       cf-c/none       gs         BA       -27/33       8.75YR6/8 (dm)       L       2c-vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BW       -52/60       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       L       1-2vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BC       -65/95       7.5YR5/8 (dm)	D16	0	3_						
BA $-30/33$ 7.5YR5/6 (dm) HC 2fsbk cvf-c/none gs Bt $-78$ 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2csbk cvf-c/none gs Btg $-110$ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) HC 2vcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs BC $-135$ 10YR7/4 (dm) HC 2vcabk reduced mottles ff-c/none cs Bcg $-160+$ 10YR7/6 (dm) HC 2vcabk reduced mottles ff-m/abundant DI7 O 3-0 A $0-5/7$ 10YR5/3 (dm) L 2mcr-2csbk mf-c/none cs AB $-10/13$ 10YR6/8 (dm) L 2csbk cf-c/none cw BA $-27/33$ 8.75YR6/8 (dm) L 2csbk cf-c/none gs Bw $-52/60$ 7.5YR5/6 (dm) L 1-2vcsbk cf-c/none gs BC $-55/95$ 7.5YR5/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk ff-c/none gr C $-150+$ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk ff-c/none gr	200	Ă	0-7	10YR5/4 (dm)	HC	2febk		mut almona	
Bt -78 7.5YR5/8 (dm) HC 2csbk $cvf-c/none$ gs Btg -110 7.5YR6/8 (dm) HC 2csbk $cvf-c/none$ gs Btg -110 7.5YR6/8 (dm) HC 2vcabk reduced mottles $ff-c/none$ cs BC -135 10YR7/4 (dm) HC 2vcabk $ff/many$ gir Bcg -160+ 10YR7/6 (dm) HC 2vcabk reduced mottles $ff-m/abundant$ DI7 O 3-0 A 0-5/7 10YR5/3 (dm) L 2mcr-2csbk $mf-c/none$ cs AB -10/13 10YR6/8 (dm) L 2csbk $cf-c/none$ gs BA -27/33 8.75YR6/8 (dm) L 2c-vcsbk $cf-c/none$ gs Bw -52/60 7.5YR5/6 (dm) L 1-2vcsbk $cf-c/none$ gs BC -55/95 7.5YR5/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk $ff-c/none$ gir C -150+ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk $ff-c/none$ gir		BA	-30/33	7.5YR5/6 (dm)	HC	2130K 2fshk		mvr-c/ none	cs
Btg       -110       7.5YR6/8 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-c/none       cs         BC       -135       10YR7/4 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-c/none       cs         Bcg       -160+       10YR7/6 (dm)       HC       2vcabk       reduced mottles       ff-many       gir         Dl7       O       3-0       A       0-5/7       10YR5/3 (dm)       L       2mcr-2csbk       mf-c/none       cs         AB       -10/13       10YR6/8 (dm)       L       2csbk       cf-c/none       cs         BA       -27/33       8.75YR6/8 (dm)       L       2c-vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         Bw       -52/60       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       L       1-2vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BC       -65/95       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       SL       1vcsbk       ff-c/none       gs         C       -150+       7.5YR6/8 (dm)       SL       1vcsbk       ff-c/none       gir		Bt	-78	7.5YR5/8(dm)	HC	2csbk		cvf.c/none	gs
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Btg	-110	7.5YR6/8 (dm)	HC	2vcabk	reduced mottles	ff_c/popo	gs
Bcg $-160+$ $10YR7/6$ (dm) HC $2vcabk$ reduced mottles ff-m/abundant DI7 O $3-0$ A $0-5/7$ $10YR5/3$ (dm) L $2mcr-2csbk$ mf-c/none cs AB $-10/13$ $10YR6/8$ (dm) L $2csbk$ cf-c/none cw BA $-27/33$ $8.75YR6/8$ (dm) L $2c-vcsbk$ cf-c/none gs Bw $-52/60$ $7.5YR5/6$ (dm) L $1-2vcsbk$ cf-c/none gs BC $-65/95$ $7.5YR5/8$ (dm) SL $1vcsbk$ ff-c/none gir C $-150+$ $7.5YR6/8$ (dm) SL $1vcsbk$ ff-c/none gir		BČ	-135	10YR7/4 (dm)	HC	2vcabk	readeed montes	ff/many	cs
DI7 O 3-0 A 0-5/7 10YR5/3 (dm) L 2mcr-2csbk mf-c/none cs AB -10/13 10YR6/8 (dm) L 2csbk cf-c/none cw BA -27/33 8.75YR6/8 (dm) L 2c-vcsbk cf-c/none gs Bw -52/60 7.5YR5/6 (dm) L 1-2vcsbk cf-c/none gs BC -65/95 7.5YR5/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk ff-c/none gir C -150+ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) S single grain		Bcg	-160+	10YR7/6 (dm)	HC	2vcabk	reduced mottles	ff-m/abundar	ut gn
A       0-5/7       10YR5/3 (dm)       L       2mcr-2csbk       mf-c/none       cs         AB       -10/13       10YR6/8 (dm)       L       2csbk       cf-c/none       cw         BA       -27/33       8.75YR6/8 (dm)       L       2c-vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         Bw       -52/60       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       L       1-2vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BC       -65/95       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       SL       1vcsbk       ff-c/none       gir         C       -150+       7.5YR6/8 (dm)       S       single grain       cf-c/none       gir	קות	0	2.0						
AB       -10/13       10YR6/8 (dm)       L       2csbk       cf-c/none       cw         BA       -27/33       8.75YR6/8 (dm)       L       2c-vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         Bw       -52/60       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       L       1-2vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BC       -65/95       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       SL       1vcsbk       ff-c/none       gir         C       -150+       7.5YR6/8 (dm)       S       single grain       cf-c/none       gir	Du	A	0-5/7	10VR5/2 (dm)	т	Jan an Daalah		<i>c i</i>	
BA       -27/33       8.75YR6/8 (dm)       L       2cvcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         Bw       -52/60       7.5YR5/6 (dm)       L       1-2vcsbk       cf-c/none       gs         BC       -65/95       7.5YR5/8 (dm)       SL       1vcsbk       ff-c/none       gir         C       -150+       7.5YR6/8 (dm)       S       single grain       cf-c/none       gir		AB	-10/13	101R3/3(um)	L	2mcr-2csbk		mf-c/none	CS
Bw     -52/60     7.5YR5/6 (dm)     L     1-2vcsbk     cf-c/none     gs       BC     -65/95     7.5YR5/8 (dm)     SL     1vcsbk     ff-c/none     gir       C     -150+     7.5YR6/8 (dm)     S     single grain     cf-c/none     gir		BA	_27/33	875YR6/8(dm)	L I	2CSUK		cr-c/none	CW
BC -65/95 7.5YR5/8 (dm) SL 1vcsbk ff-c/none gir C -150+ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) S sincle grain cf-c/none		Bw	-52/60	75YR5/6 (dm)	L T	1-2vcobk		cr-c/none	gs
C -150+ 7.5YR6/8 (dm) S sincle gir		BC	-65/95	7.5YR5/8 (dm)	SI	1-2vCSDK		cr-c/none	gs
		C	-150+	7.5YR6/8(dm)	S	single orain	1	cf-c/none	gır

Table 2. Morphological properties of the soils developed near D. lanceolata.

a), b), c), d): see Table 1.

then water phase was calculated by subtracting the weight of solid phase from the sum of the weight of solid and water phase.

٠,

Tensiometers were installed in the field at the soil depth of 10 cm and 30 cm with an automatic data logger (Kohna system, KADEC-U2 with KDC-S5) to monitor water potential (matric suction) in



the soils near two species.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Soil morphological characteristics

The soils near Da (hereafter denoted as Da soils) were usually situated on a stable hill ridge, and their parent materials were untransported and hence Da soils are considered sedentary soils. On the other hand, the soils near Dl (hereafter denoted as Dl soils) were divided into two groups in view of topography; some are located on a smaller hill ridge than the one where Da dominates, and/or stable hill slope (Dl1, Dl4, Dl5, Dl6, and Dl7) and the others are located in the lower slope near the valley (Dl2, Dl3). The soils in the valley were found to be unstable from the standpoint of pedogenesis, and transported materials can be supplied successively.

Based on the soil profile descriptions shown in Tables 1, and 2, the following characteristics were found.

1) Organic layer was thicker in the Da soils than in the Dl soils.

2) Reduced mottles and/or dull color in deeper horizons were found in the Dl soils whether the soil texture is either clayey or sandy, whereas no reduced mottles were found in the Da.

3) Soil depth of the soils under both vegetation types was deeper than 130cm.

4) Coarse fragments were often found in the profile of the Dl soils, whereas they were not found in the Da soils.

It is noteworthy that both vegetation types stand on a relatively well developed soil with a deep solum in comparison with those in Japan, so that deeper soils may be necessary for supporting a big biomass of the said vegetation. In other words, a big biomass can not stand on a less developed soil. However, it needs more work to elucidate the relationship between soil development and establishment of emergent trees with a big biomass.

Accumulation of a thicker organic layer in the Da soils than in the Dl soils may result from a slower decomposition rate of organic matter where a relatively drier soil condition was observed. This

Soil	Depth (cm)	HC <sup>1</sup> (10 <sup>-3</sup>	Three p Air	hase distr Water	ribution Solid	Total Porosity	Macro <sup>2</sup> Pore	Micro <sup>3</sup> Pore	Particle Sand	size dist Silt	ribution Clay	
		cm/sec)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Da1	0-10 10-30 30-50	6.67 3.68 0.29	30.6 20.9 16.4	33.9 34.4 32.0	35.5 44.7 51.6	64.5 55.3 48.4	26.8 18.1 16.1	37.7 37.2 32.3	57 55 52	24 24 23	19 21 25	
Da2	0-10 10-30 30-50	19.5 38.7 9.16	50.5 43.1 26.2	22.3 23.3 29.5	27.2 33.6 44.3	72.8 66.4 55.7	45.5 42.2 25.9	27.3 24.2 29.8	62 60 60	16 19 18	22 22 22	
Da <b>3</b>	0-10 10-30 30-50	16.5 4.97 8.69	45.9 46.4 33.8	17.6 16.8 20.9	36.5 36.8 45.3	63.6 63.2 54.7	43.9 44.1 31.8	19.7 19.1 22.9	80 77 74	8 8 8	12 15 18	
Da4	0-10 10-30 30-50	47.0 9.01 2.69	46.4 34.6 36.1	16.5 20.4 15.3	37.1 45.0 48.6	62.9 55.0 51.4	45.5 34.0 29.8	17.4 21.0 21.6	78 76 77	10 10 9	12 14 14	
Dl1	0-10 10-30 30-50	51.1 0.89 2.42	31.6 16.8 14.2	30.3 33.0 36.5	38.1 50.2 49.3	61.9 49.8 50.7	29.7 12.7 9.5	32.2 37.1 41.2	38 33 27	33 33 31	29 34 42	
D <b>l2</b>	0-10 10-30 30-50	9.47 2.33 6.04	18.1 15.4 16.7	30.8 32.1 33.9	51.1 52.6 49.3	48.9 47.4 50.7	15.5 13.0 13.2	33.4 34.4 37.5	74 67 64	14 15 15	13 17 21	
D <b>13</b>	0-10 10-30 30-50	57.9 17.2 0.04	38.7 14.3 6.1	22.4 38.6 46.2	38.9 47.1 47.7	61.1 52.9 52.3	41.9 28.1 36.6	19.2 24.8 15.7	86 88 84	8 7 8	6 5 8	
D14	0-10 10-30 30-50	8.76 1.40 4.73	32.4 21.5 15.9	26.3 26.2 30.3	41.3 52.3 53.8	58.7 47.7 46.2	27.3 16.4 11.4	31.4 31.3 34.8	38 34 28	34 34 34	27 32 39	

Table 3. Soil physical properties.

1: Hydraulic conductivity; 2: >9.5 μm in diameter, 3): <9.5 μm in diameter

finding is consistent with that of forest soils developed under cool temperate climate in Japan (Forest soil research group, 1994). The difference in soil color (Fig. 3) suggested that Dl should stand on the soils with reduced mottles, and hence an aquic moisture regime (Soil survey staff, 1990) in the lower horizons. The aquic moisture regime found in the lower horizons of the Dl soils is brought by either the water saturation of the capillary fringe when its clay content is higher, or the water saturation by ground water when the clay content is lower. Thus, soil morphology indicate that Dl prefer a relatively wet condition to Da, or that Da can stand only on a relatively dry condition.

One exception is found in Dl7 soil, because there is no reduced mottle throughout the profile (Table 2). However, as compared with the Da soils in Table 1, the color of surface horizon of the Dl7 is duller than the Da soils, indicating a relatively wet condition for some period. Besides the Dl7 soil is located on a small ridge near valley, so that the tree roots can extend to the valley with ease.

Hence, moisture characteristics can be a critical factor to differentiate the characteristics of the Dl soils from the Da soils. Furthermore, the presence of coarse fragments in the subsurface horizon of the Dl soils suggests an unstable pedogenetic condition. Thus, the Dl soils are considered younger than the Da soils.

As discussed above, the differences between the Da and Dl soils were considerably definite. Because of the occurrence of wide range in soil morphology, the Dl soils should better be classified into the following two groups. One is a soil with matrix soil color of 10YR in the subsurface horizons, while the other is a soil with matrix soil color of 7.5YR. The former is mostly located in or near the valley, or on the small ridge with gentle slope and high clay content, whereas the latter is mostly located on the hill ridge. Further investigation on the other species of Dipterocarpaceae will be helpful



to make out these relationship in more detail.

#### Soil physical properties

Proportion of air phase and value of the macropore percentage were higher in the Da soils than in the Dl soils (Table 3). Proportion of water phase is lower in the Da soils than in the Dl soils. For the Da soils, sand content was higher than 50% and clay content was less than 26%, whereas for the Dl soils, the former ranged from 27 to 88% and the latter from 5 to 42%. In summary, physical properties common in the Da soils are high sand content, high macropore percentage, and high air-phase percentage and hence subjected to a low moisture condition. On the other hand, the properties common in the Dl soils were high water-phase percentage and low air-phase percentage in situ for the layer from 30 to 50cm: Even if the texture and pore characteristics were different among pedons, a relatively high moisture condition was prevalent for the Dl soils.

Generally speaking, micropore percentage depends on the clay content, whereas macropore percentage depends on the sand content. Thus, the micropore is important for the retention of both water and nutrients, whereas the macropore is important to the drainage. Judging from the data in Table 3, it can be predicted that the Da soils show a better drainage condition, but lower nutrient status and water holding capacity than the Dl soils.

### Soil moisture condition

Since we found out the difference in soil moisture condition between the Da and Dl soils by the morphological observation and some of physical properties, we monitored the soil moisture using tensiometers with automatic data logger for nine days in September 1993. The locations of the tensiometers installed were around Dl4 and Da2. Based on the results shown in Fig. 4, the soil matric suction near Da is easy to fluctuate, whereas that near Dl is relatively stable even after the frequent rainfall. Moreover, the matric suction is much lower in the Da2 soil than the Dl4 soil, indicative of lower content of free water in the Da2 soil than the Dl4 soil. These facts support the evidence from soil morphological properties, although we need to conduct detailed investigation throughout a year or more.

## Soil chemical properties and total chemical composition

The amounts of exchangeable cations and available phosphorus (Table 4) are considered as an active nutrient flow in the forest. The content of Ca, Mg, and K, and phosphorus were higher in the *Dl* soils

Soils and the Distribution of Dryobalanops aromatica and D. lanceolata

Soil	Depth	nł	pH		F	ychan	zeable	cation	6		CEC	Total	Total	Available
UUII	(cm)	H <sub>2</sub> O	KCI		Ca	Mg	K	Na	Al	Н	CLC	C	N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>
		2	(μ	ıS cm⁻¹)			(0	cmol(+	) kg-1)			(%)	(%)	$(g kg^{-1})$
Da1	0-10	4.42	3.54	53.9	0.32	0.34	0.09	0.04	5.87	2.53	8.14	2.73	0.15	0.008
	10-30	4.69	3.74	32.2	0.25	0.37	0.05	0.02	3.39	1.47	8.63	0.64	0.06	0.005
	30-50	4.86	3.73	29.0	0.23	0.35	0.05	0.02	4.38	0.63	7.53	0.30	0.04	0.004
Da2	0-10	4.18	3.39	56.0	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.14	6.69	3.14	15.75	4.78	0.21	0.018
	10-30	4.56	3.64	26.0	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.07	4.04	2.03	9.46	1.10	0.06	0.007
	30-50	4.72	3.73	23.0	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	2.88	1.18	7.65	0.52	0.04	0.005
Da3	0-10	4.47	3.65	33.5	0.04	0.08	0.02	0.12	3.12	1.70	7.88	1.62	0.08	0.011
	10-30	4.87	4.00	16.2	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.05	1.85	0.82	5.14	0.84	0.05	0.006
	30-50	5.14	4.09	11.6	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	1.71	0.63	4.76	0.34	0.03	0.006
Da4	0-10	4.66	3.78	33.3	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.11	2.41	1.22	6.58	1.26	0.07	0.014
	10-30	4.74	3.96	28.2	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.06	1.64	1.14	4.80	0.57	0.04	0.007
	30-50	4.81	4.00	22.0	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	1.49	0.83	3.97	0.24	0.02	0.004
Dl1	0-10	4.39	3.38	61.0	1.07	1.59	0.24	0.04	4.25	2.12	12.58	2.36	0.17	0.012
	10-30	4.44	3.51	30.1	0.28	1.02	0.12	0.03	5.54	1.83	10.21	0.82	0.09	0.004
	30-50	4.67	3.61	24.3	0.27	1.22	0.09	0.03	5.83	1.66	11.37	0.56	0.08	0.006
D <b>l2</b>	0-10	4.77	3.74	29.1	0.39	0.76	0.08	0.02	1.92	1.03	4.95	1.01	0.10	0.040
	10-30	4.93	3.75	23.8	0.34	0.47	0.05	0.05	2.50	1.19	5.18	0.61	0.07	0.004
	30-50	4.63	3.77	19.2	0.30	0.44	0.05	0.03	2.60	1.08	4.98	0.38	0.05	0.002
D <b>l3</b>	0-10	5.00	3.93	62.3	0.50	0.49	0.09	0.08	1.26	0.84	5.60	1.41	0.11	0.019
	10-30	5.22	3.93	26.3	0.25	0.51	0.16	0.04	0.91	0.50	2.36	0.44	0.05	0.008
	30-50	5.31	3.89	21.8	0.26	0.35	0.03	0.01	0.99	0.67	2.27	0.25	0.03	0.008
Dl4	0-10	5.19	3.76	44.3	1.26	0.46	0.23	0.05	1.13	0.67	13.13	1.74	0.18	0.027
	10-30	5.36	3.80	33.9	0.47	1.97	0.13	0.05	2.52	1.09	9.65	0.84	0.11	0.008
	30-50	5.49	3.78	27.1	0.28	1.86	0.12	0.07	3.72	1.11	11.33	0.37	0.07	0.004

Table 4. Soil chemical properties.

Oven dried basis

than in the Da soils. Moreover, base saturation was much higher in the Dl soils than in the Da soils, although it was less than 50% for both soils. The values of pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) and exchangeable H of surface horizon (0-10cm) ranged from 4.18 to 4.66 and 1.22 to 3.14 for the Da soils, respectively, whereas 4.39 to 5.19 and 0.67 to 2.12, respectively for the Dl soils. The amount of exchangeable Al is generally higher in the Da soils, and that is higher in the surface horizons for the Da soils and in the subsurface horizons for the Dl soils. On the other hand, the amounts of exchangeable Ca and Mg were much lower in the Da soils than the Dl soils. These data reflected the difference in the topography as will be shown in the following paper (Sakurai et al., in prep.) and related moisture condition. The Da soils on the stable ridge underwent a strong leaching of the basic nutrients and became more acidic soil condition. Furthermore, because of water deficiency for some period of a year, the root mat develops on the surface layer resulting in a high total carbon content, which promotes acidity as reported in forest soils in Japan (Forest Soil Research Group, 1993). On the other hand, the Dl soils on the slope would be supplied with nutrients accompanied with water and soil particles from the surroundings, because of lower slope, and moist moisture condition would be suitable for the rapid mineralization of basic cations to the surface horizon. Further analysis in view of clay mineralogy and charge characteristics may be helpful to interpret the soil-plant relationship.

The total chemical composition (Table 5) was analyzed for the pedogenetic horizons as a measure of nutrient stock. Total chemical composition changed with clay content, as indicated by the report of Ohta *et al.* (1993). The values of CaO and Na<sub>2</sub>O were quite low, indicating that both Dl and Da soils



Fig. 5. Fungal counts of *Da* soil and *Dl* soil with depth.

Table 5. Total chemical composition and particle size distribution.

Soil H	-lori-D	epth -														
2	zon	-P	SiO <sub>2</sub>	$Al_2O_3$	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	$MnO_2$	CaO	$K_2O$	MgO	$Na_2O$	$P_2O_5$	Total	Sand	Silt	Clay
	(	cm) -					(%	)							(%) -	
Da1	A (	)-2/7	91.06	6.01	2.68	0.83	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.41	0.21	0.02	0.04	101.26	56	25	20
	AB	-15	89.32	7.16	3.33	0.86	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.47	0.23	0.02	0.02	101.41	53	27	21
	BA	-26	88.20	7.51	3.47	0.85	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.48	0.25	0.02	0.02	100.80	54	24	23
	Bw 4	4/48	84.02	9.07	4.20	0.95	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.63	0.29	0.06	0.02	99.24	49	21	30
	Bt -8	30/83	79.54	11.69	6.19	0.96	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.98	0.39	0.01	0.02	99.78	45	18	37
	CB	130+	66.51	16.74	11.76	0.93	0.01	< 0.01	1.86	0.62	0.07	0.03	98.52	39	15	46
Dak	Δ	0-8	94 88	3.50	0.89	0.48	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.08	0.07	0.01	0.02	99.93	81	8	13
Duo	AB	-30	93.90	4.24	1.25	0.45	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.11	0.10	< 0.01	0.02	100.07	79	9	16
	BA	-53	92.37	5.15	1.53	0.44	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.14	0.10	< 0.01	0.01	99.74	75	7	17
	Bw1	85	91.14	5.74	1.68	0.45	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.21	0.14	< 0.01	0.02	99.38	76	7	17
	Bw2	116	90.91	6.11	1.86	0.41	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.24	0.14	0.03	0.02	99.72	75	8	19
	BC	-180	90.84	6.14	1.93	0.45	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.31	0.15	0.02	0.01	99.85	73	10	12
נות	A ()	-9/13	94.89	3.20	0.93	0.39	0.01	< 0.01	0.34	0.14	0.00	0.02	99.92	86	8	6
DIO	Rwo?	25/30	96.75	2.63	0.80	0.27	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.23	0.09	0.00	0.02	100.79	88	7	5
	BCg	53/63	94.35	3.53	1.16	0.28	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.23	0.12	0.01	0.01	99.69	84	8	8
	Col	75/93	94.53	3.88	1.03	0.36	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.24	0.10	0.01	0.01	100.16	81	10	10
	Cg2	135+	88.20	6.94	2.52	0.48	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.84	0.32	0.08	0.02	99.40	67	15	18
	Δ	0_4	83.65	1016	3.51	0.88	0.07	0.10	1.55	5 0.71	0.28	0.07	100.98	38	34	27
DIA	Bw	-35	78.49	12.60	4.63	0.87	0.03	< 0.01	1.93	3 0.86	0.23	0.05	99.69	35	34	31
	Bt	-60	74.34	15.44	5.21	0.85	0.03	< 0.01	2.39	9 1.15	0.33	0.04	99.78	3 33	31	36
	Bto	102	71.65	16.69	5.13	0.90	0.02	< 0.01	2.72	2 1.36	0.35	0.04	98.86	5 30	33	37
	BCg	150	69.98	18.00	5.96	0.93	0.02	< 0.01	2.85	5 1.44	0.38	0.04	99.60	) 19	38	43

1) Ignition loss basis, 2) Oven dried basis

are strongly leached out, unlike MgO and  $K_2O$ . This finding is consistent with that of Ohta *et al.* (1993). It is difficult to differentiate the *Da* soils from the *Dl* soils from these data, but if soils with the same clay content were compared, the *Dl* soils show much higher content of MgO,  $K_2O$ , Na<sub>2</sub>O, and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> than the *Da* soils. More significant weathering for the *Da* soils than the *Dl* soils and nutrient



Fig. 6. Characteristics of the soils developed near Da and Dl.

addition from the surroundings for the Dl soils are thus confirmed by this analysis as well.

Thus, the *Da* soils are highly leached because of their geomorphologically stable condition, that is, prolonged leaching time under heavy rainfall, and acidification due to the release of proton from thick organic layer accumulated under drier moisture condition. On the other hand, the *Dl* soils were considered relatively young soils rich in basic cations. That is because both organic matter and soil particles associated with enough water and nutrients may be always transported in the profile, and basic cations may be mineralized rapidly under suitable moisture condition.

It was well-known that shifting cultivators utilize a land where *Dl* dominates as a productive crop field. Their traditional way of land selection proves to be rationale from the standpoint of soil science.

### **Microbial evidence**

Fig. 5 shows the fungal counts at the different depth of Da2 and Dl3 soils. Fungal counts in subsurface layers were within a range normally seen in the temperate regions. Smaller figure was obtained from samples taken from deeper horizons in both soils. Such decrease is a common observation and generally considered as a result of decrease in available nutrients.

Between these profiles, ten times as much fungal counts were recorded in Da soils compared with Dl. This seems not to agree with our data on chemical analysis that more available nutrients are found in the Dl soils, provided that fungal level reflected a nutrient status of the soil. When physical properties were taken into account, the Da soils are higher in macropore percentage than the Dl soils. The higher macropore percentage, i.e., the higher air percentage, may have contributed to yield the higher fungal counts within the Da soils, although the difference may be attributed to greater sporation ratio due to dryness. In other words, fungi may not favor a more reductive condition in the Dl soils.

## **CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE**

Characteristics of the Da soils examined are relatively uniform (Fig. 6) and summarized as follows. 1) Soil color in deeper horizon is 7.5YR5/8 or 7.5YR6/8 or redder, 2) sand content of surface 50 cm is higher than 50 %, 3) no coarse fragment is found, suggesting stable pedogenetic processes, 4) coarse pore (>9.5  $\mu$ m) and air phase percentage are high, leading to drier moisture condition, and 5) acidity increases towards the surface horizon, probably due to organic acid released from thick organic layer, resulting in the higher exchangeable Al content.

On the other hand, the *Dl* soils, in general, are characterized by 1) dull color in deeper horizons, reflecting high moisture content, 2) high exchangeable Ca and/or Mg in the surface horizon and hence relatively weak acidity and low exchangeable Al content, and 3) younger in pedogenetic processes. The reason why shifting cultivators identify the land where *Dl* dominates as a productive crop field is obvious from these soil characteristics.

Since their morphological and physico-chemical properties are ranging widely in the Dl soils, it is adequate to differentiate them into two groups; One is located on a small ridge with high clay content, and the other is located in a valley whether the texture is clayey or sandy (Fig. 6). More detailed investigation is necessary along with tree species around Dl and slope analysis including the geological stratification.

Further investigation is promising in relation to the dynamics of water and nutrients associated with the vegetation type. To accomplish it, soil micro-environment such as rainfall, soil moisture, soil temperature, lateral and vertical movement of water and composition of the soil solution are needed to be monitored. In addition, physical and chemical reactions occurred at the rhizosphere, charge properties and mineralogy, and production of organic materials by a given plant are to be clarified.

Finally, it is commonly believed that in tropics termites play an important role in circulation of nutrients. However, this does not mean that microorganisms are less important at the final stage of litter decay. We consider that studies on soil microorganisms should be progressed in tropical rain forests and feel that analysis on microflora must be promoted to study the nutrient cycle prevailing in the rain forests.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** We would thank the Forest Department Sarawak for their kind permission to participate in their joint research project in Sarawak, and making this study possible. I am also grateful to Drs. T. Yamakura, and A. Itoh for their valuable discussions. This study was financially supported by Basic Creative Research Project and Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (02041071 and 06760138) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

### REFERENCES

- Ashton, P. S. 1976. Mixed dipterocarp forest and its variation with habitat in the Malayan lowland; A re-evaluation at Pasoh. *The Malayan Forester* **39** (2): 56-72.
- Austin, M. P., Ashton, P. S. & Greig-Smith, P. 1972. The application of quantitative methods to vegetation survey 3; A re-examination of rain forest data from Brunei. *Journal of Ecology* 60: 305-324.
- Baillie, I. C., Ashton, P. S., Court, M. N., Anderson, J. A. R., Fitzpatrick, E. A. & Tinsley, J. 1987. Site characteristics and the distribution of tree species in mixed dipterocarp forest on tertiary sediments in central sarawak, Malaysia. J. Tropical Ecology 3: 201-220.
- Forest Soil Research Group. 1993. The Way of Examining of Forest Soils and their Characteristics, pp. 334, Rinyakousaikai, Tokyo (in Japanese)
- Itoh, A. 1995. Effects of forest floor environment on germination and seedling establishment of two Bornean rainforest emergent species. *J. Tropical Ecology* **11**: 517-527.

—, Yamakura, T., Ogino, K., Lee, H. S. & Ashton, P. S. 1995. Population structure and canopy dominance of two emergent dipterocarp species in a tropical rain forest of Sarawak, East Malaysia. *Tropics* 4(2/3):133-141.

- Ochi, H. & Okashita, H. 1987. X-ray fluorescence analysis of ceramic materials. Comparison between the powder method and the glass bead method. *Shimadzu Rev.* 44: 69-75. (in Japanese with English summary)
- Ohta, S., Effendi, S., Tanaka, N. & Miura, S. 1993. Ultisols of lowland dipterocarp forest in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. III. Clay minerals, free oxides, and exchangeable cations. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 39(1):1-12.
- Poore, M. E. D. 1968. Studies in Malaysian rain forest; 1. The forest on triassic sediments in Jengka forest reserve. *Journal of Ecology* 56: 143-196.

Richards, P. W. 1952: The Tropical Rain Forest. Cambridge University Press

- Soil Survey Staff 1990. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 4th ed. SMSS Technical Monograph No. 6. Blacksburg, Virginia
- Wong, Y. K. and Whitomore, T. C. 1970. On the influence of soil properties on species distribution in a Malayan lowland dipterocarp rain forest. *Malaysian Forester* 33: 42-54.
- Watson, H. 1985. Lambir Hills National Park: resource inventory with management recommendations. National Parks and Wildlife Office, Forest Department, Kuching.
- Yamakura, T., Kanzaki, M., Itoh, H., Ohkubo, T., Ogino, K., Ernest Chai, O. K., Lee, H. S. & Ashton P. S. 1995. Topography of a large-scale research plot established within a tropical rain forest at Lambir, Sarawak. *Tropics* 5(1/2): 41-56.

Received July 4, 1996 Accepted June 25, 1997

## 平井英明,松村 弘,広谷博史,桜井克年,荻野和彦, LEE Hua Seng 熱帯雨林におけるフタ バガキ科 Dryobalanops aromatica および D. lanceolata 下に発達する土壌の特性-マ レーシア,サラワク州,ランビルヒルズ国立公園における事例

Dryobalanops aromatica (Da)と D. lanceolata (Dl) はボルネオ熱帯雨林において突出木層を構成している主要なフタバガキ属の樹種である。その分布は近接しているが、決して重なり合うことはない。本論文では、これら2樹種の分布を、土壌形態学的、物理学的、化学的、微生物学的特性から吟味した。

地形的にみれば、Da は斜面上部のみに分布していたが、Dl は斜面の上部および下部に分布していた。 この2樹種の優先する土壌の深さはいずれも1.3 m 以上であった。土性はDa 土壌では砂質であったが、Dl 土壌では砂質から粘質とその範囲が広かった。さらに、次のような差異が両土壌に認められた。1) リター 層はDa 土壌で厚かった。2) 次表層の土色は Dl 土壌の方が鈍い色調を示していた。3) Dl 土壌にはレキが 認められたのに対して、Da 土壌では認められなかった。4) 交換性陽イオンのうち、Ca および Mg 含量は Dl 土壌の方が高かった。5) 交換性 Al と H 含量はDa 土壌で高かった。6) 気相、粗孔隙量と砂含量はDa 土 壌の方が高かった。このことは、降雨後Da土壌はより乾燥した土壌水分条件になることを示すが、それは 土壌水分ポテンシャルのモニターによって証明された。7) カビはDa 土壌の方がDl 土壌よりも10 倍多く認 められた。

以上の結果を総合するとDa は乾燥し易く、貧栄養で酸性の強い、安定した土壌生成過程を経た土壌に成 立する。一方、Dlはある期間還元を受けるような湿潤条件下で、かつDa 土壌に比較して養分状態がよく、 酸性が弱く、土壌生成過程の弱い土壌に成立することが明らかとなった。現地の焼畑農民はDl が成立する 土地を耕地として利用するが、このDl 土壌の特性を考慮すると、農民の伝統的な土地識別方法はきわめて 適切であることが土壌学的観点から明らかとなった。