Graphical abstract:

Novel solid-emissive indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophores **3a–3c** constructed by a non-planar structures with sterical hindered substituents (R = butyl, phenyl, and thienyl) have been designed and conveniently synthesized.

Solid-emissive fluorophores constructed by a non-planar heteropolycyclic structure with bulky substituents: synthesis and X-ray crystal structures†

Yousuke Ooyama, Shintaro Yoshikawa, Shigeru Watanabe and Katsuhira Yoshida*

5 *Receipt/Acceptance Data* [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

Publication data [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

Novel solid-emissive indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophores **3a–3c** constructed by a non-planar structures with sterical hindered substituents (R = butyl, phenyl, and thienyl) have been designed and conveniently synthesized. The fluorescence quantum yields of **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane were **3a** ($\Phi = 0.053$) >> **3b** ($\Phi = 0.013$) > **3c** ($\Phi = 0.003$). On the other hand, the solid-state fluorescence quantum yields of the fluorophores were **3a** ($\Phi = 0.39$) > **3b** ($\Phi = 0.15$) > **3c** ($\Phi = 0.06$). To elucidate the big differences in the quantum yield between in solution and in the solid state and among the fluorophores **3a–3c**, we performed the time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopic measurement, the semi-empirical molecular orbital calculations (AM1 and INDO/S), and the X-ray crystallographic analysis of **3a–3c**. The comparison of the values of the radiative and nonradiative rate constants determined by the time-resolved spectroscopic measurement in solution and in the crystalline state supported that non-radiative decay is reduced by restriction of the rotation of the phenyl ring and thienyl ring in the solid state. In addition, the X-ray crystal structures demonstrated that, in **3a** and **3b**, the non-planar structure with sterical hindered substituents prevents the fluorophores from forming short π - π contacts and produces strong solid-state fluorescence. On the other hand, in the crystal of **3c**, the formation of continuous intermolecular CH $\cdots$ S bonding between neighboring fluorophores was found to increase short π - π contacts and reduce the fluorescence intensity.

Introduction

Solid-state fluorescence of organic fluorophores has recently attracted increasing interest because of their many uses both in the fundamental research field of solid state photochemistry¹ and in the applied field of optoelectronic devices.² However, organic fluorophores with strong solid-emissive properties are rare, because most organic fluorophores undergo fluorescence quenching in molecular aggregation states. Many efforts have been carried out to avoid the concentration quenching. For example, Chen *et al.* have recently reported that the strong solid-state fluorescence of diphenylfumaronitrile derivatives having the nonplanar structure inhibit the close packing of the molecules that causes fluorescence quenching.^{1e} On the other hand, Tohnai and Miyata *et al.*^{1h} proposed the possibility of a tunable solid-state fluorescence system consisting organic salt with primary amine. In the system, the fluorescence intensity can be controlled by changing alkyl chain length of the amine. In contrast, Yoshida *et al.*³ reported that the quinol-type fluorophores exhibits significantly changes of color and a drastic fluorescence enhancement upon inclusion of guest molecules in the crystalline state. From the relation between the solid-state fluorescence properties and the crystal structures, it was confirmed that the destruction of π - π interactions between the fluorophores by guest enclathration is the main reason for the guest-dependent fluorescence

enhancement behavior. Strong intermolecular π - π interaction^{1c-g,3-5} or continuous intermolecular hydrogen bonding^{3b,6} between neighboring fluorophores have been suggested as main factors of fluorescence quenching. Ultimately, the key point in design of strong solid-emissive fluorophores is to eliminate the factors that induce concentration quenching in molecular aggregation states.

In this paper, we report a molecular design and the convenient synthesis of novel solid-emissive indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophore (**3a–3c**)⁷ constructed by a non-planar structure with sterical hindered substituents. To elucidate the differences between the photophysical properties in solution and in the solid state, we have performed the time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopic measurement, the semi-empirical molecular orbital calculations (AM1 and INDO/S), and the X-ray crystallographic analysis of **3a–3c**. The relations between the observed photophysical properties and the chemical and crystal structures of the fluorophores are discussed.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophores by a photochemical rearrangement reaction

The synthetic pathway is shown in Scheme 1. We used 3-(dibutylamino)-6-hydroxy-6-phenyl-naphtho[2,3-b]benzofuran-11(6H)-one **1**^{3a} as a starting material. The reaction of **1** with organolithium reagents gave **2a–2c** in 60–70 % yields. The structures of **2a–2c** were confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (See the Supplementary Information). The proposed reaction mechanism is depicted in Scheme 2: the

Department of Material Science, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Akebono-cho, Kochi, 780-8520, Japan. Fax: (+81) 88-844-8359; E-mail: kyoshida@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [X-ray crystallographic data]. See <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/xx/b0/b000000x/> *kyoshida@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp

1,2-addition of organolithium reagents (RLi) to **1** forms diol derivative **I** and subsequently the rearrangement of the substituent (R) occurs to give the cyclohexadienone-type products **2**. It is known that 1,4-disubstituted cyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-diol derivatives undergo acid-promoted dehydration which is followed by rearrangement to produce cyclohexadienone-type compounds.^{8,9} Next, the conversion of **2a–2c** to novel heteropolycyclic fluorophores **3a–3c** was performed in 90–100 % yields by a photochemical rearrangement reaction, which is a new photoreaction we have found in this research. A possible mechanism for the formation of **3a–3c** from **2** is shown in Scheme 3, which is similar to a photoinduced oxa-di- π -methane rearrangement^{10,11}: first, the 1,2-diradical is derived, and then convert to 1,4-diradical intermediate **II** with a cyclopropane ring. Rearrangement of intermediate **II** give 1,3-diradical intermediate and then undergo cyclization to give the stable products, indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-ones **3**. As a typical example, Fig. 1 shows (a) the absorption and (b) fluorescence spectral changes obtained by irradiation of **2b** in 1,4-dioxane at 365 nm with a black light. Upon irradiation, a new intense absorption band appeared at around 455 nm together with isosbestic points 325 and 363 nm, and the corresponding fluorescence band appeared at 520 nm. The appearance of the strong absorption and fluorescence bands in the visible region are ascribed to extension of π -conjugation by the photochemical rearrangement. <Schemes 1–3 and Fig. 1 here>

Spectroscopic properties of indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophores in solution and in the solid state

The fluorescence spectra of **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane and in the crystalline states were recorded by excitation at the wavelengths of the longest absorption maximum. Table 1 and Fig. 2 show the spectroscopic properties of **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane. In 1,4-dioxane, the absorption maxima at around 447–460 nm and the fluorescence maxima at around 497–532 nm are both red-shifted by conjugation with the substituent (R) in the order of **3a** < **3b** < **3c** (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the fluorescence intensity decreases dramatically in the order of **3a** ($\Phi_f = 0.053$) >> **3b** ($\Phi_f = 0.013$) > **3c** ($\Phi_f = 0.003$). The time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy of **3a–3c** indicated that the relatively high fluorescence quantum yield of **3a** is mainly due to a large radiative rate constant ($k_r = 8.28 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$) compared to those of the other compounds **3b** ($k_r = 1.29 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and **3c** ($k_r = 0.05 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$). The ratio of nonradiative constant to radiative constant (k_{nr}/k_r) increases in the order of **3a** (17.8) < **3b** (75.7) < **3c** (364), which is compatible with the order of fluorescence quantum yield of **3a–3c**. These results suggest that non-radiative decay is accelerated by free rotation of the phenyl ring and thienyl ring in solution. <Table 1 and Fig. 2 here>

Of particular interest are the solid-state photophysical properties. Table 2 and Fig. 3 show the spectroscopic properties of **3a–3c** in the crystalline states. The fluorescence

quantum yields of **3a–3c** are in the order of **3a** ($\Phi_f = 0.39$) > **3b** ($\Phi_f = 0.15$) > **3c** ($\Phi_f = 0.06$) in the crystalline state. The fluorophores **3a–3c** exhibited much stronger fluorescence in the solid state than in solution. Since the rotation of the substituents is restricted in the solid state, the fluorescence quantum yields are higher in the solid states than in solution. The longest wavelengths of the absorption and fluorescence maxima of **3a–3c** are red-shifted in the order of **3a** $\approx$ **3b** < **3c** in comparison with those of **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane. The time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy of **3a–3c** indicates that the fluorescence quantum yield depends on the ratio of radiative rate constant to nonradiative rate constant: (k_r/k_{nr}) = **3a** (0.64) > **3b** (0.18) > **3c** (0.06). From the lower quantum yields of **3c** both in solution and in the solid state, it was considered that strong electron-donating effect of the thienyl group caused not only red-shift of the absorption and fluorescence maxima but also significant fluorescence quenching. <Table 2 and Fig. 3 here>

Semi-empirical MO calculations (AM1, INDO/S)

The absorption spectra of **3a–3c** were analyzed by using semi-empirical molecular orbital (MO) calculations. The molecular structures were optimized by using MOPAC/AM1 method,¹² and then the INDO/S method¹³ was used for spectroscopic calculations. The calculated absorption wavelengths and the transition character of the first absorption bands are collected with those of the observed spectra (Table 3). As shown in Fig. 4, the optimized geometries of **3a–3c** show that the heteropolycyclic skeleton is non-planar because of existence of the central sp^3 carbon where the phenyl group is attached. Moreover, it was found that the phenyl and thienyl substituents of **3b** and **3c** are able to rotate freely compared to the butyl substituent of **3a**, which is good compatible with the observed fluorescence properties in 1,4-dioxane. The values of the dipole moments in the ground states are 6.18 for **3a**, 6.13 for **3b**, and 4.81 for **3c** and the differences between the dipole moments ($\Delta\mu$) of the first excited and the ground states are 6.53 for **3a**, 8.42 for **3b**, and 5.80 for **3c**. The values of the dipole moments of **3c** are small compared to those of **3b** and **3c**. As shown also in Fig. 4(a), although the directions of the dipole moment of **3a–3c** in the ground state are similar to one another, the direction of the dipole moment of **3c** in the excited state is different from that of **3a** and **3b**. These results suggested that the thienyl group as electron-donor contributes significantly to the π -conjugated system of the fluorophore, which affects the photophysical properties of **3c**. <Table 3 and Fig. 4 here>

The calculated absorption wavelengths and the oscillator strength values are relatively good compatible with the observed spectra in 1,4-dioxane, although the calculated absorption spectra are blue shifted. This deviation of the INDO/S calculations, giving high transition energies compared with the experimental values, has been generally observed.¹⁴ The calculations show that the longest excitation bands for **3a–3c** are mainly assigned to the transition from the HOMO to the LUMO, where the HOMO is mostly localized

on the dibutylaminobenzopyran moiety, and the LUMO is mostly localized on the indenone moiety. However, the HOMO of **3c** is also localized on the thienyl ring. The changes in the calculated electron density accompanying the first electron excitation are shown in Fig. 5, which shows a strong migration of intramolecular charge-transfer character of **3a–3c**. It is noteworthy that the thienyl group of **3c** as electron-donor is taking part in the intramolecular charge-transfer, but the butyl group of **3a** and the phenyl group of **3b** are not. These results indicate that electron-donating effects of the π -conjugated thienyl group on the intramolecular charge-transfer cause red-shifts of the absorption and fluorescence maxima and significant fluorescence quenching both in solution and in the solid state. < Fig. 5 here>

X-ray crystal structures of indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one-type fluorophores

In order to investigate the effect of the crystal structure on the solid-state photophysical properties, we have performed X-ray crystallographic analysis of **3a–3c**. The crystal systems were a monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with $Z = 4$ for **3a**, an orthorhombic space group $Pbca$ with $Z = 8$ for **3b**, and a triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with $Z = 2$ for **3c**. The packing structures demonstrate that the molecules are arranged in a “herring-bone” fashion in the crystal of **3a**, and in a “bricks in a wall” fashion in the crystals of **3b** and **3c**. As shown in Figs. 6–8, the three crystals are built up by a centrosymmetric pair unit of two enantiomers. Fig. 9 shows the schematic structure of one of the enantiomers. The heteropolycyclic skeleton is non-planar because of existence of the central sp^3 carbon where the phenyl group is attached. The good correlations were observed between the bond lengths and bond angles of **3a–3c** from semi-empirical MO calculations and those experimentally obtained from X-ray crystal structures. We expected that such non-planar structures with sterical hindered substituents (the phenyl, R, and 7-dibutylamino groups) prevent the fluorophores from forming short π - π contacts causing fluorescence quenching in the solid state. In fact, the torsion angles between the indenone skeleton and the benzopyran skeleton of **3a–3c** are near 150.2° , 150.1° , and 153.1° , respectively. The compounds **3a** and **3b** which exhibit strong solid-state fluorescence have only one or two interatomic contacts of less than $3.60 \text{ \AA}$ between the neighboring fluorophores in the crystal structure (Figs. 6 and 7). On the other hand, the compound **3c** which exhibits unexpected weak solid-state fluorescence has 15 interatomic contacts of less than $3.60 \text{ \AA}$ between the neighboring fluorophores (Fig. 8(d)). As shown in Fig. 8(b), the fluorophores are linked continuously by intermolecular $CH\cdots S$ bonds between adjoining benzene ring and thienyl ring of neighboring fluorophores. Thus, the formation of the continuous molecular linking by $CH\cdots S$ bonds makes the fluorophore **3c** to form such a massed molecular packing structure. < Figs. 6–9 here>

Conclusions

Novel heteropolycyclic fluorophores (**3a–3c**) have been designed and conveniently synthesized by a photochemical rearrangement reaction that we have newly found. The X-ray crystal analysis demonstrated that, in the crystals of **3a** and **3b**, the non-planar structure with sterical hindered substituents prevents the fluorophores from forming the short intermolecular contacts and produces intense solid-state fluorescence emission. However, in the crystal of **3c**, the formation of continuous intermolecular $CH\cdots S$ bonding between adjoining benzene ring and thienyl ring of neighboring fluorophores increases short π - π contacts and reduce the fluorescence intensity. Thus, new useful information concerning the solid-state fluorescence has been obtained.

Experimental

Melting points were measured with a Yanaco micro melting point apparatus MP-500D. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-5300 spectrophotometer for samples in KBr pellet form. Absorption spectra were observed with a JASCO U-best30 spectrophotometer and fluorescence spectra were measured with a JASCO FP-777 spectrophotometer. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction was performed on Rigaku AFC7S diffractometer. Photoirradiation was carried out by using a UVP Model UVGL-25 as the light source. Fluorescence lifetimes were determined with a time-resolved spectrophotometer. The samples were excited by a laser diode ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 375 \text{ nm}$). The fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_f) were determined using 9,10-bisphenylethynylanthracene ($\Phi_f = 0.84$, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 440 \text{ nm}$)¹⁵ in benzene as the standard. The solid-fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_f) were determined by using a calibrated integrating sphere system ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 325 \text{ nm}$). Elemental analyses were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 2400 II CHN analyzer. ^1H NMR spectra were recorded on a JNM-LA-400 (400 MHz) FT NMR spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (60N, spherical, neutral).

Synthesis of 11a-butyl-3-dibutylamino-6-phenyl-11aH-benzo[b]naphtho[2,3-d]furan-11-one (2a)

To a THF solution (200 ml) of benzofuranoquinol **1** (1.0 g, 2.20 mmol) in Ar atmosphere was added an ethereal solution of 1.6 M butyllithium (5.5 ml, 8.8 mmol) at -108°C over 30 min. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH_4Cl solution. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic extract was washed with water. The organic extract was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) to give **2a** (0.75 g, yield 69%): mp $141\text{--}143^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1692; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.77$ (3H, t), 0.93 (6H, t), 1.15–1.20 (2H, m), 1.33–1.44 (6H, m), 1.53–1.60 (4H, m), 1.83–1.90 (2H, m), 3.32 (4H, m), 6.29 (1H, d, $J = 2.44 \text{ Hz}$), 6.44 (1H, dd, $J = 2.44$ and 8.54 Hz), 7.08 (1H, d, $J = 7.81 \text{ Hz}$), 7.33–7.37 (1H, m), 7.42–7.44 (5H, m), 7.49–7.57 (2H, m), 7.90

(1H, dd, $J = 1.46$ and 7.56 Hz); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.1, 14.2, 20.8, 23.0, 27.3, 42.8, 51.5, 61.0, 94.3,$
 107.11, 111.6, 112.7, 126.7, 126.8, 127.2, 127.8, 128.5, 128.8,
 129.4, 131.3, 134.9, 135.3, 140.8, 150.5, 160.6, 162.0, 200.2.
 (Found: C, 83.02; H, 8.26; N, 2.89. $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{39}\text{NO}_2$ requires C,
 82.72; H, 7.96; N, 2.84%).

Synthesis of 3-dibutylamino-6,11a-diphenyl-11aH-benzo[b]naphtho[2,3-d]furan-11-one (2b)

To a THF solution (100ml) of benzofuranoquinol **1** (1.0 g, 2.20 mmol) in Ar atmosphere was added an ethereal solution of 1.8 M phenyllithium (4.9 ml, 8.8 mmol) at -108°C over 30 min. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH_4Cl solution. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic extract was washed with water. The organic extract was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) to give **2b** (0.84 g, yield 68%): mp $159-160^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1693; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.91$ (6H, t), 1.28-1.38 (4H, m), 1.48-1.56 (4H, m), 3.22-3.34 (4H, m), 6.25 (1H, d, $J = 2.44$ Hz), 6.41 (1H, dd, $J = 2.44$ and 8.54 Hz), 7.05 (1H, d, $J = 7.56$ Hz), 7.19-7.33 (4H, m), 7.45-7.51 (2H, m), 7.55-7.59 (4H, m), 7.67-7.71 (3H, m), 7.76 (1H, dd, $J = 1.46$ and 7.56 Hz); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.2, 20.8, 51.5, 64.4, 94.4, 107.3, 114.5, 114.6, 126.1, 127.0, 127.6, 128.1, 128.8, 129.5, 129.6, 130.0, 131.3, 134.9, 135.1, 140.5, 140.9, 150.5, 158.8, 160.1, 198.3$. (Found: C, 84.34; H, 6.92; N, 2.60. $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{35}\text{NO}_2$ requires: C, 84.18; H, 6.87; N, 2.73%).

Synthesis of 3-dibutylamino-6-phenyl-11a-thiophen-2-yl-11aH-benzo[b]naphtho[2,3-d]furan-11-one (2c)

To a THF solution (100ml) of benzofuranoquinol **1** (1.0 g, 2.20 mmol) in Ar atmosphere was added an ethereal solution of 1.0 M 2-thienyllithium (8.8 ml, 8.8 mmol) at -108°C over 30 min. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH_4Cl solution. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic extract was washed with water. The organic extract was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) to give **2c** (0.77 g, yield 68%): mp $132-134^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1699; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.92$ (6H, t), 1.30-1.39 (4H, m), 1.51-1.58 (4H, m), 3.31 (4H, t), 6.27 (1H, d, $J = 2.44$ Hz), 6.46 (1H, dd, $J = 2.44$ and 8.54 Hz), 6.86-6.87 (1H, m), 7.06-7.08 (1H, m), 7.13-7.14 (1H, m), 7.25-7.27 (1H, m), 7.29-7.33 (1H, m), 7.46-7.58 (6H, m), 7.71 (1H, d, $J = 8.54$ Hz), 7.82 (1H, dd, $J = 0.98$ and 7.56 Hz); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.2, 20.8, 51.5, 60.9, 94.3, 107.4, 113.8, 113.8, 125.8, 126.1, 127.0, 127.1, 127.8, 127.9, 128.5, 128.9, 129.0, 129.5, 121.2, 134.9, 135.2, 140.6, 144.9, 150.8, 159.3, 160.2, 196.6$. (Found: C, 78.61; H, 6.39; N, 2.81. $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{33}\text{NO}_2\text{S}$ requires: C, 78.58; H, 6.40; N, 2.70%).

Synthesis of 10-butyl-7-dibutylamino-9b-phenyl-9bH-indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one (3a)

The dichloromethane solution (80 ml) of **2a** (0.1 g) was irradiated with 365 nm light. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) and by recrystallization from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane to give 0.099 g of **3a** in 99% yield as orange crystals: mp $120-122^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1679; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.95-1.00$ (9H, m), 1.35-1.51 (6H, m), 1.58-1.65 (4H, m), 2.04-2.09 (2H, m), 3.19-3.23 (2H, m), 3.39-3.43 (4H, m), 6.37 (1H, dd, $J = 2.44$ and 8.78 Hz), 6.53 (1H, d, $J = 2.44$ Hz), 7.11-7.15 (1H, m), 7.21-7.25 (2H, m), 7.34 (1H, d, $J = 8.78$ Hz), 7.48-7.56 (3H, m), 7.60-7.63 (1H, m), 7.71-7.77 (2H, m); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.2, 14.3, 20.8, 23.5, 27.3, 33.3, 51.2, 82.5, 100.4, 107.3, 113.1, 123.7, 125.4, 126.2, 126.7, 128.2, 129.0, 129.2, 130.1, 134.8, 140.2, 144.8, 146.6, 152.3, 152.4, 158.8, 189.2$. (Found: C, 82.43; H, 8.25; N, 2.68. $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{39}\text{NO}_2$ requires C, 82.72; H, 7.96; N, 2.84%).

Synthesis of 7-dibutylamino-9b,10-diphenyl-9bH-indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one (3b)

The dichloromethane solution (80 ml) of **2b** (0.1g) was irradiated with 365 nm light. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) and by recrystallization from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane to give 0.098 g of **3b** in 98% yield as orange crystals: mp $157-159^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1686; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.96$ (6H, t), 1.36-1.45 (4H, m), 1.59-1.66 (4H, m), 3.40-3.44 (4H, m), 6.30 (1H, dd, $J = 2.44$ and 8.78 Hz), 6.61 (1H, d, $J = 2.44$ Hz), 6.72 (1H, d, $J = 8.78$ Hz), 7.16-7.20 (1H, m), 7.28-7.31 (2H, m), 7.47-7.50 (5H, m), 7.62-7.68 (3H, m), 7.73-7.78 (3H, m); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.2, 20.8, 51.2, 83.1, 100.3, 107.2, 113.6, 113.7, 123.6, 125.5, 126.4, 128.2, 128.4, 129.2, 129.9, 130.2, 131.9, 134.9, 140.3, 144.3, 144.6, 152.2, 152.5, 159.3, 187.1$. (Found: C, 84.34; H, 6.92; N, 2.60. $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{35}\text{NO}_2$ requires C, 84.18; H, 6.87; N, 2.73%).

Synthesis of 10-(2-thienyl)-7-dibutylamino-9b-phenyl-9bH-indeno[1,2-b]benzo[4,5-e]pyran-11-one (3c)

The dichloromethane solution (80 ml) of **2c** (0.1g) was irradiated with 365 nm light. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 as eluent) and by recrystallization from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane to give 0.099 g of **3c** in 99% yield as orange crystals: mp $129-131^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} 1680; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 0.98$ (6H, t), 1.36-1.46 (4H, m), 1.60-1.67 (4H, m), 3.43 (4H, t), 6.35 (1H, d, $J = 2.68$ and 8.78 Hz), 6.60 (1H, d, $J = 2.68$ Hz), 7.11 (1H, d, $J = 9.21$ Hz), 7.16-7.19 (1H, m), 7.24-7.30 (3H, m), 7.48-7.52 (1H, m), 7.62-7.72 (6H, m), 7.75-7.77 (1H, m); ^{13}C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) $\delta = 14.2, 20.8, 51.2, 83.4, 100.3, 107.2, 113.4, 113.5, 123.7, 125.5, 126.4, 127.5, 128.5, 129.2, 129.7, 130.3, 132.0, 133.6, 134.9, 135.1, 136.7, 130.3,$

144.2, 151.9, 152.7, 159.2, 186.6. (Found: C, 78.56; H, 6.49; N, 2.82. C₃₄H₃₃NO₂S requires C, 78.58; H, 6.40; N, 2.70%).

X-ray crystallographic studies

The data sets were collected at 23 ± 1 °C on a Rigaku AFC7S four-circle diffractometer by 2θ-ω scan technique, and using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα (λ = 0.71069 Å) radiation at 50 kV and 30 mA. In all case, the data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. A correction for secondary extinction was applied. Crystal data, data collection and refinement parameters are summarized in Table S1. A correction for secondary extinction was supplied. The reflection intensities were monitored by three standard reflections for every 150 reflections. An empirical absorption correction based on azimuthal scans of several reflections was applied. All calculations were performed using the teXsan¹⁶ crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corporation. CCDC reference numbers 605725-605730.

Crystal structure determination of compound 2a

Crystal of **2a** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.40×0.40×0.50 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.86 to 0.99. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.¹⁷ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data. C₃₄H₃₉NO₂, *M* = 493.69, monoclinic, *a* = 14.321(2), *b* = 11.583(3), *c* = 17.877(2) Å, β = 104.490(8)°, *U* = 2871.3(9) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P2₁/n (no.14), *Z* = 4, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.70 cm⁻¹, 5552 reflections measured, 5047 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.042) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.074, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.183 (all data).

Crystal structure determination of compound 2b

Crystal of guest-free **2b** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.70×0.10×0.40 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.96 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.¹⁷ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data. C₃₆H₃₅NO₂, *M* = 513.68, monoclinic, *a* = 9.081(2), *b* = 20.626(4), *c* = 15.600(3) Å, β = 98.69(2)°, *U* = 2888(1) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P2₁/c (no.14), *Z* = 4, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.72 cm⁻¹, 5427 reflections measured, 5087 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.059) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.055, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.174 (all data).

Crystal structure determination of compound 2c

Crystal of **2c** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.60×0.50×0.10 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.87 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.¹⁷ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data. C₃₄H₃₃NO₂S, *M* = 519.70, triclinic, *a* = 10.088(8), *b* = 16.317(6), *c* = 9.031(6) Å, α = 91.18(4)°, β = 97.28(6)°, γ = 72.73(4)°, *U* = 1407(1) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P1- (no.2), *Z* = 2, μ(Mo-Kα) = 1.46 cm⁻¹, 6872 reflections measured, 6463 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.084) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.094, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.194 (all data).

Crystal structure determination of compound 3a

Crystal of **3a** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.20×0.20×0.40 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.97 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.¹⁷ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data. C₃₄H₃₉NO₂, *M* = 493.69, monoclinic, *a* = 9.471(5), *b* = 30.489(6), *c* = 10.734(5) Å, β = 114.03(3)°, *U* = 2830(2) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P2₁/a (no.14), *Z* = 4, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.71 cm⁻¹, 5417 reflections measured, 4981 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.050) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.053, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.117 (all data).

Crystal structure determination of compound 3b

Crystal of **3b** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.20×0.10×0.45 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.95 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SAPI91.¹⁹ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data. C₃₆H₃₅NO₂, *M* = 513.68, orthorhombic, *a* = 20.947(7), *b* = 31.759(7), *c* = 8.758(7) Å, *U* = 5826(4) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group Pbca (no.61), *Z* = 8, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.71 cm⁻¹, 5385 reflections measured, 4786 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.000) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.055, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.108 (all data).

495 Crystal structure determination of compound 3c

Crystal of **3c** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.50×0.40×0.40 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.87 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.¹⁷ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.¹⁸ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

505 **Crystal data.** C₃₄H₃₃NO₂S, *M* = 519.70, triclinic, *a* = 11.009(2), *b* = 13.949(5), *c* = 9.752(2) Å, α = 97.99(2)°, β = 101.46(2)°, γ = 72.82(2)°, *U* = 1397.1(6) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P1- (no.2), *Z* = 2, μ(Mo-Kα) = 1.47 cm⁻¹, 6801 reflections measured, 6426 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.040) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*₁ = 0.0793, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.184 (all data).

Computational methods

All calculations were performed on FUJITSU FMV-ME4/657. The semi-empirical calculations were carried out with the WinMOPAC Ver. 3 package (Fujitsu, Chiba, Japan). Geometry calculations in the ground state were carried out using the AM1 method.¹² All geometries were completely optimized (keyword PRECISE) by the eigenvector following routine (keyword EF). Experimental absorption spectra of the seven quinol derivatives were studied with the semi-empirical method INDO/S (intermediate neglect of differential overlap/spectroscopic).¹³ All INDO/S calculations were performed using single excitation full SCF/CI (self-consistent field/configuration interaction), which includes the configuration with one electron excited from any occupied orbital to any unoccupied orbital, 225 configurations were considered for the configuration interaction [keyword CI (15 15)].

Acknowledgements

530 This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Science and Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sport and Culture of Japan (Grant 18350100), by a Science and Technology Incubation Program in Advanced Regions of Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), and by a Special Research Grant for Green Science from Kochi University.

References

- (a) K. Hirano, S. Minakata, M. Komatsu, *Chem. Lett.*, 2001, 8; (b) Y. Sonoda, Y. Kawanishi, T. Ikeda, M. Goto, S. Hayashi, N. Tanigaki, K. Yase, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 3376; (c) R. Davis, S. Abraham, N. P. Rath, S. Das, *New J. Chem.*, 2004, **28**, 1368; (d) V. de Halleux, J.-P. Calbert, P. Brocorens, J. Cornil, J.-P. Declercq, J.-L. Brédas, Y. Geerts, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2004, **14**, 649; (e) H.-C. Yeh, W.-C. Wu, Y.-S. Wen, D.-C. Dai, J.-K. Wang, C.-T. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 6455; (f) E. Horiguchi, S. Matsumoto, K. Funabiki, M. Matsui, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2005, **78**, 1167; (g) S.
- Mizukami, H. Houjou, K. Sugaya, E. Koyama, H. Tokuhisa, T. Sasaki, M. Kanesato, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 50; (h) Y. Mizobe, N. Tohnai, M. Miyata, Y. Hasegawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1839; (i) I. Vayá, M. C. Jiménez, M. Miranda, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2005, **16**, 2167; (j) Z. Xie, B. Yang, L. Liu, M. Li, D. Lin, Y. Ma, G. Cheng, S. Liu, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **18**, 962; (j) A. Dreuw, J. Plötner, L. Lorenz, J. Wachtveitl, J. E. Djanhan, J. Brüning, T. Metz, M. Bolte, M. U. Schmidt, *Angew. Chem.*, 2005, **117**, 7961-7964; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2005, **44**, 7783-7786.
- (a) C. W. Tang, S. A. Vanslyke, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1987, **51**, 913; (b) C. W. Tang, S. A. Vanslyke, C. H. Chen, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1989, **65**, 3610; (c) J. Schi, C. W. Tang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **70**, 1665; (d) A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale, A. B. Holmes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 402; (e) U. Mitschke, P. Bäuerle, *Mater. Chem.*, 2000, **10**, 1471; (f) K.-C. Wong, Y.-Y. Chien, R.-T. Chen, C.-F. Wang, Y.-T. Liu, H.-H. Chiang, P.-Y. Hsieh, C.-C. Wu, C. H. Chou, Y. O. Su, G.-H. Lee, S.-M. Peng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 11576; (g) C. J. Tonzola, M. M. Alam, W. K. Kaminsky, S. A. Jenekhe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 13548; (h) H.-C. Yeh, L.-H. Chan, W.-C. Wu, C.-T. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2004, **14**, 1293; (i) C.-T. Chen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 4389; (j) C.-L. Chiang, M.-F. Wu, D.-C. Dai, Y.-S. Wen, J.-K. Wang, C.-T. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 231.
- (a) K. Yoshida, Y. Ooyama, M. Miyazaki, S. Watanabe, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, 2002, 700-707; (b) Y. Ooyama, T. Nakamura, K. Yoshida, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 447; (c) Y. Ooyama, T. Okamoto, T. Yamaguchi, T. Suzuki, A. Hayashi, K. Yoshida, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, 7827-7838.
- (a) K. Yoshida, J. Yamazaki, Y. Tagashira, S. Watanabe, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 9; (b) K. Yoshida, T. Tachikawa, J. Yamasaki, S. Watanabe, S. Tokita, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 1027; (c) K. Yoshida, H. Miyazaki, Y. Miura, Y. Ooyama, S. Watanabe, *Chem. Lett.*, 1999, 837; (d) K. Yoshida, Y. Ooyama, S. Tanikawa, S. Watanabe, *Chem. Lett.*, 2000, 714; (e) K. Yoshida, Y. Ooyama, S. Tanikawa, S. Watanabe, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, 2002, 708-714; (f) Y. Ooyama, K. Yoshida, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 1204.
- H. Langhals, T. Potrawa, H. Nöth, G. Linti, *Angew. Chem.*, 1989, **101**, 497-499; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, **28**, 478-480.
- K. Yoshida, K. Uwada, H. Kumaoka, L. Bu, S. Watanabe, *S. Chem. Lett.*, 2001, 808.
- Y. Ooyama, S. Yoshikawa, S. Watanabe, K. Yoshida, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 3406-3409.
- (a) H. M. Crawford, M. Lumpkin, M. McDonald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1952, **74**, 4087; (b) A. Rieker, G. Henes, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1968, **34**, 3775-3778; (d) F. Alonso, M. Yus, *Tetrahedron*, 1992, **48**, 2709.
- N. Vorontsova, V. Rozenberg, E. Vorontsov, D. Antonov, Z. Starikova, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 761-770.
- (a) H. Z. Zimmerman, D. Amresto, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 3065; (b) *CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and photobiology*, eds. W. Horspool, F. Lenci, CRC, New York, 2nd edn., 2004.
- (a) T.-H. Lee, P. D. Rao, C.-C. Liao, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 801; (b) D. Armesto, M. J. Oritz, A. R. Agarrabeitia, N. El-Boulifi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 7739; (f) S.-Y. Chang, S.-L. Huang, N. R. Villarante, C.-C. Liao, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 4648.
- M. J. S. Dewar, E. G. Zoebisch, E. F. Healy, J. J. Stewart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 389.
- (a) J. E. Ridley, M. C. Zerner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **32**, 111; (b) J. E. Ridley, M. C. Zerner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1976, **42**, 223; (c) A. D. Bacon, M. C. Zerner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1979, **53**, 21.
- (a) M. Adachi, Y. Murata, S. Nakamura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 5238; (b) W. M. F. Fabian, S. Schuppler, O. S. Wolfbesis, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, 1996, 853.
- C. A. Heller, R. A. Henry, B. A. McLaughlin, D. E. Bills, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 1974, **19**, 214.
- teXsan: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation 1985 and 1992.
- A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi and G. Polidori, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1994, **27**, 435.
- DIRDIF94. P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, R. Israel, and J. M. M. Smits, The DIRIF94

program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1994.

19 Fan Hai-Fu (1991). Structure Analysis Programs with Intelligent Control, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

655

Table 1 Spectroscopic properties of **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane

	$\lambda_{\max}^{\text{abs}}/\text{nm}^{\text{a}}$ ($\epsilon_{\max}/\text{dm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$)	$\lambda_{\max}^{\text{fl}}/\text{nm}^{\text{b}}$	$\Phi_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$	τ^{d} (ns)	k_{r}^{e} (10^7s^{-1})	k_{nr}^{f} (10^7s^{-1})
3a	447 (32300)	497	0.053	0.64	8.28	148
3b	455 (30500)	519	0.013	1.01	1.29	97.7
3c	460 (28600)	532	0.003	5.47	0.05	18.2

^a 1.25×10^{-5} M. ^b 1.25×10^{-6} M. ^c Φ_{f} values were determined using 9,10-bisphenylethynylanthracene ($\Phi_{\text{f}} = 0.84$, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 440$ nm) in benzene as a standard. ^d Fluorescence life time. ^e Radiative rate constant ($k_{\text{r}} = \Phi_{\text{f}}/\tau_{\text{f}}$). ^f Nonradiative rate constant ($k_{\text{nr}} = (1 - \Phi_{\text{f}})/\tau_{\text{f}}$).

660

Table 2 Spectroscopic properties of **3a–3c** in the crystalline state

	$\lambda_{\max}^{\text{ex}}/\text{nm}$	$\lambda_{\max}^{\text{fl}}/\text{nm}$	$\Phi_{\text{f}}^{\text{a}}$	τ^{b} (ns)	k_{r}^{c} (10^8s^{-1})	k_{nr}^{d} (10^8s^{-1})
3a	485	522	0.39	1.08	3.61	5.65
3b	495	531	0.15	0.93	1.61	9.14
3c	537	567	0.06	0.59	1.02	15.9

^a The solid-fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_{f}) were determined by using a calibrated integrating sphere system ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 325$ nm). ^b Fluorescence life time. ^c Radiative rate constant ($k_{\text{r}} = \Phi_{\text{f}}/\tau_{\text{f}}$). ^d Nonradiative rate constant ($k_{\text{nr}} = (1 - \Phi_{\text{f}})/\tau_{\text{f}}$).

665

Table 3 Calculated absorption spectra for the compounds **3a–3c**

Quinol	$\mu / \text{D}^{\text{a}}$	Absorption (calc.)		CI component ^c	$\Delta\mu / \text{D}^{\text{d}}$
		$\lambda_{\max} / \text{nm}$	f^{b}		
3a	6.18	353	0.68	HOMO→LUMO (85%)	8.53
3b	6.13	362	0.61	HOMO→LUMO (87%)	8.42
3c	4.81	372	0.50	HOMO→LUMO (74%)	5.80

^aThe values of the dipole moment in the ground state. ^bOscillator strength. ^cThe transition is shown by an arrow from one orbital to another, followed by its percentage CI (configuration interaction) component. ^dThe values of the difference in the dipole moment between the excited and the ground states.

675

680

720

Figure captions

Fig. 1 (a) Absorption and (b) fluorescence spectral changes upon photoirradiation of **2b** in benzene. [**2b**] = 2.5×10^{-5} M.

Fig. 2 (a) Absorption and (b) fluorescence spectra of compounds **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane.

Fig. 3 Solid-state excitation (dotted line) and emission (solid line) spectra of the crystals of **3a–3c**.

Fig. 4 The optimized geometries of **3a–3c** by using MOPAC/AM1 method (a) a side view, and (b) a top view with the dipole moments in the grand states (gray) and the difference dipole moments between the excited and the ground states (black).

Fig. 5 Calculated electron density changes accompanying the first electronic excitation of **3a–3c**. The white and black lobes signify decreases and increase in electron density accompanying the electronic transition. Their areas indicate the magnitude of the electron density change.

Fig. 6 Crystal packing of **3a** (a) ORTEP diagram, (b) a view of the molecular packing structure, (c) a side view, and (d) a top view of the pairs of fluorophores.

Fig. 7 Crystal packing of **3b** (a) ORTEP diagram, (b) a view of the molecular packing structure, (c) a side view, and (d) a top view of the pairs of fluorophores.

Fig. 8 Crystal packing of **3c** (a) ORTEP diagram, (b) a view of the molecular packing structure, (c) a side view, and (d) top views of the pairs of fluorophores.

Fig. 9 Schematic representation of the molecular structure of **3a–3c**.

Scheme 1 Outline of the synthetic pathway.

Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for the photoarrangement of **2** to **3**

Fig. 1 (a) Absorption and (b) fluorescence spectral changes upon photoirradiation of **2b** in benzene. [**2b**] = 2.5×10^{-5} M.

Fig. 2 (a) Absorption and (b) fluorescence spectra of compounds **3a–3c** in 1,4-dioxane.

Fig. 3 Solid-state excitation (dotted line) and emission (solid line) spectra of the crystals of **3a–3c**.

Fig. 4 The optimized geometries of **3a–3c** by using MOPAC/AM1 method (a) a side view, and (b) a top view with the dipole moments in the ground states (red) and the difference dipole moments between the excited and the ground states (blue).

Fig. 5 Calculated electron density changes accompanying the first electronic excitation of **3a–3c**. The white and black lobes signify decreases and increase in electron density accompanying the electronic transition. Their areas indicate the magnitude of the electron density change.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Fig. 6 Crystal packing of **3a** (a) ORTEP diagram, (b) a view of the molecular packing structure, (c) a side view, and (d) a top view of the pairs of fluorophores.

1300

Fig. 8 Crystal packing of **3c** (a) ORTEP diagram, (b) a view of the molecular packing structure, (c) a side view, and (d) top views of the pairs of fluorophores.

Fig. 9 Schematic representation of the molecular structure of **3a–3c**.

Supporting Information for

Solid-emissive fluorophores constructed by a non-planar heteropolycyclic structure with bulky substituents: synthesis and X-ray crystal structures

Yousuke Ooyama, Shintaro Yoshikawa, Shigeru Watanabe and Katsuhira Yoshida*

Department of Material Science, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Akebono-cho, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

E-mail: kyoshida@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81-88-844-8359; Tel: +81-88-844-8296

X-ray crystal structure analyses. X-ray crystal structure analyses of **2a–2c** and **3a–3c**: The data sets were collected at 23 ± 1 °C on a Rigaku AFC7S four-circle diffractometer by 2θ - ω scan technique, and using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å) radiation at 50 kV and 30 mA. In all case, the data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. A correction for secondary extinction was supplied. The reflection intensities were monitored by three standard reflections for every 150 reflections. An empirical absorption correction based on azimuthal scans of several reflections was applied. All calculations were performed using the teXsan¹ crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corporation.

Crystal of **2a** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.40×0.40×0.50 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.86 to 0.99. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.² The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **2a**: C₃₄H₃₉NO₂, *M* = 493.69, monoclinic, *a* = 14.321(2), *b* = 11.583(3), *c* = 17.877(2) Å, β = 104.490(8)°, *U* = 2871.3(9) Å³, *T* = 296.2K, space group P2₁/n (no.14), *Z* = 4, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 0.70 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 5552 reflections measured, 5047 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.042$) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* indices were *R*1 = 0.074, *wR* (*F*²) = 0.183 (all data).

Crystal of guest-free **2b** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.70×0.10×0.40 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.96 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.² The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms

were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **2b**: $C_{36}H_{35}NO_2$, $M = 513.68$, monoclinic, $a = 9.081(2)$, $b = 20.626(4)$, $c = 15.600(3)$ Å, $\beta = 98.69(2)^\circ$, $U = 2888(1)$ Å³, $T = 296.2$ K, space group $P2_1/c$ (no.14), $Z = 4$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 0.72$ cm⁻¹, 5427 reflections measured, 5087 unique ($R_{int} = 0.059$) which were used in all calculations. The final R indices were $R1 = 0.055$, $wR(F^2) = 0.174$ (all data).

Crystal of **2c** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as yellow prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.60×0.50×0.10 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.87 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.² The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **2c**: $C_{34}H_{33}NO_2S$, $M = 519.70$, triclinic, $a = 10.088(8)$, $b = 16.317(6)$, $c = 9.031(6)$ Å, $\alpha = 91.18(4)^\circ$, $\beta = 97.28(6)^\circ$, $\gamma = 72.73(4)^\circ$, $U = 1407(1)$ Å³, $T = 296.2$ K, space group $P1$ - (no.2), $Z = 2$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.46$ cm⁻¹, 6872 reflections measured, 6463 unique ($R_{int} = 0.084$) which were used in all calculations. The final R indices were $R1 = 0.094$, $wR(F^2) = 0.194$ (all data).

Crystal of **3a** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.20×0.20×0.40 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.97 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.² The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **3a**: $C_{34}H_{39}NO_2$, $M = 493.69$, monoclinic, $a = 9.471(5)$, $b = 30.489(6)$, $c = 10.734(5)$ Å, $\beta = 114.03(3)^\circ$, $U = 2830(2)$ Å³, $T = 296.2$ K, space group $P2_1/a$ (no.14), $Z = 4$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 0.71$ cm⁻¹, 5417 reflections measured, 4981 unique ($R_{int} = 0.050$) which were used in all calculations. The final R indices were $R1 = 0.053$, $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ (all data).

Crystal of **3b** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions 0.20×0.10×0.45 mm. The transmission factors ranged from 0.95 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SAPI91.⁴ The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **3b**: $C_{36}H_{35}NO_2$, $M = 513.68$, orthorhombic, $a = 20.947(7)$, $b = 31.759(7)$, $c =$

8.758(7) Å, $U = 5826(4) \text{ Å}^3$, $T = 296.2\text{K}$, space group $Pbca$ (no.61), $Z = 8$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}_\alpha) = 0.71 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 5385 reflections measured, 4786 unique ($R_{int} = 0.000$) which were used in all calculations. The final R indices were $R1 = 0.055$, $wR(F^2) = 0.108$ (all data).

Crystal of **3c** was recrystallized from a mixture solvent of dichloromethane and *n*-hexane as orange prism, air stable. The one selected had approximate dimensions $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$. The transmission factors ranged from 0.87 to 1.00. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SIR 92.² The structures were expanded using Fourier techniques.³ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Some hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, the rest were fixed geometrically and not refined.

Crystal data for **3c**: $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{33}\text{NO}_2\text{S}$, $M = 519.70$, triclinic, $a = 11.009(2)$, $b = 13.949(5)$, $c = 9.752(2) \text{ Å}$, $\alpha = 97.99(2)^\circ$, $\beta = 101.46(2)^\circ$, $\gamma = 72.82(2)^\circ$, $U = 1397.1(6) \text{ Å}^3$, $T = 296.2\text{K}$, space group $P1$ - (no.2), $Z = 2$, $\mu(\text{Mo-K}_\alpha) = 1.47 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 6801 reflections measured, 6426 unique ($R_{int} = 0.040$) which were used in all calculations. The final R indices were $R1 = 0.0793$, $wR(F^2) = 0.184$ (all data).

1. teXsan: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation 1985 and 1992.
2. A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi and G. Polidori, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1994, **27**, 435.
3. DIRDIF94. P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, R. Israel, and J. M. M. Smits, The DIRIF94 program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1994.
4. Fan Hai-Fu (1991). Structure Analysis Programs with Intelligent Control, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

2a

3a

2b

3b

2c

3c

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameter for the compounds **2a-2c** and **3a-3c**.

Compound	2a	3a
Molecular formula	C ₃₄ H ₃₉ NO ₂	C ₃₄ H ₃₉ NO ₂
Formula weight	493.69	493.69
Number of reflection used for unit cell determination (2 θ range/ $^{\circ}$)	25 (23.7-27.4)	25 (22.0-24.7)
Crystal System	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P2 ₁ /n	P2 ₁ /a
a/ $\text{\AA}$	14.321(2)	9.471(5)
b/ $\text{\AA}$	11.583(3)	30.489(6)
c/ $\text{\AA}$	17.877(2)	10.734(5)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$		
$\beta/^{\circ}$	104.490(8)	114.03(3)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$		
V/ $\text{\AA}^3$	2871.3(9)	2830(2)
Z	4	4
D _c /g cm ⁻³	1.142	1.158
F(000)	1064.00	1064.00
M(MoK α)/cm ⁻¹	0.70	0.71
Crystal dimensions/nm	0.40×0.40×0.50	0.20×0.20×0.40
Scan mode	ω -2 θ	ω -2 θ
Scan rate in $\omega/^{\circ}\text{min}^{-1}$	8.0(up to 5 scans)	8.0(up to 5 scans)
Scan width/ $^{\circ}$	1.57 + 0.30 tan θ	0.79 + 0.30 tan θ
2 θ max/ $^{\circ}$	50.0	50.0
Range of induces h ; k ; l	0, 17; 0, 13; -21, 20	-11, 0; 0, 36; -11, 12
Reflections collected (unique)	5047	4981
Reflection observed with $I_0 > 2\sigma I_0$	2461	2071
Number of parameters	407	459
R	0.074	0.053
R _w	0.183	0.117
w	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹
S	1.75	1.10
Max. Shift/Error in final cycle	0.001	0.005
Max. peak in final diff. map/e $\text{\AA}^{-3}$	0.37	0.21
Min. peak in final diff. map/e $\text{\AA}^{-3}$	-0.32	-0.22

(Continued)

Compound	2b	3b
Molecular formula	C ₃₆ H ₃₅ NO ₂	C ₃₆ H ₃₅ NO ₂
Formula weight	513.68	513.68
Number of reflection used for unit cell determination (2 θ range/°)	25 (22.2-25.5)	12 (22.1-23.9)
Crystal System	monoclinic	orthorhombic
Space group	P2 ₁ /c	Pbca
a/Å	9.081(2)	20.947(7)
b/Å	20.626(4)	31.759(7)
c/Å	15.600(3)	8.758(7)
α /°		
β /°	98.69(2)	
γ /°		
V/Å ³	2888(1)	5826(4)
Z	4	8
D _c /g cm ⁻³	1.181	1.171
F(000)	1096.00	2192.00
M(MoK α)/cm ⁻¹	0.72	0.71
Crystal dimensions/nm	0.70×0.10×0.40	0.20×0.10×0.45
Scan mode	ω -2 θ	ω -2 θ
Scan rate in ω /°min ⁻¹	4.0(up to 5 scans)	8.0(up to 5 scans)
Scan width/°	1.78 + 0.30 tan θ	0.79 + 0.30 tan θ
2 θ max/°	50.0	50.0
Range of induces h ; k ; l	-10, 0; 0, 24; $\pm$ 18	0, 22; 0, 37; -10, 0
Reflections collected (unique)	5087	4786
Reflection observed with $I_0 > 2\sigma I_0$	1900	1284
Number of parameters	457	465
R	0.055	0.055
R _w	0.174	0.108
w	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹
S	1.21	1.00
Max. Shift/Error in final cycle	0.05	0.003
Max. peak in final diff. map/e Å ⁻³	0.40	0.83
Min. peak in final diff. map/e Å ⁻³	-0.21	-0.87

(Continued)

Compound	2c	3c
Molecular formula	C ₃₄ H ₃₃ NO ₂ S	C ₃₄ H ₃₃ NO ₂ S
Formula weight	519.70	519.70
Number of reflection used for unit cell determination (2 θ range/ $^{\circ}$)	7 (22.5-24.5)	20 (25.1-34.0)
Crystal System	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	P1-	P1-
a/ $\text{\AA}$	10.088(8)	11.009(2)
b/ $\text{\AA}$	16.317(6)	13.949(5)
c/ $\text{\AA}$	9.031(6)	9.752(2)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	91.18(4)	97.99(2)
$\beta/^{\circ}$	97.28(6)	101.46(2)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	72.73(4)	72.82(2)
V/ $\text{\AA}^3$	1407(1)	1397.1(6)
Z	2	2
D _c /g cm ⁻³	1.226	1.235
F(000)	552.00	552.00
M(MoK α)/cm ⁻¹	1.46	1.47
Crystal dimensions/nm	0.60×0.50×0.10	0.50×0.40×0.40
Scan mode	ω -2 θ	ω -2 θ
Scan rate in $\omega/^{\circ}\text{min}^{-1}$	8.0(up to 5 scans)	8.0(up to 5 scans)
Scan width/ $^{\circ}$	1.68 + 0.30 tan θ	1.47 + 0.30 tan θ
2 θ max/ $^{\circ}$	55.0	55.0
Range of induces h ; k ; l	± 13 ; ± 21 ; -11, 0	-14, 14; -18, 17; 0, 12
Reflections collected (unique)	6463	6426
Reflection observed with $I_0 > 2\sigma I_0$	1656	2675
Number of parameters	392	432
R	0.094	0.079
R _w	0.194	0.184
w	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹	($\sigma^2 F^2$) ⁻¹
S	1.36	1.63
Max. Shift/Error in final cycle	0.03	0.002
Max. peak in final diff. map/e $\text{\AA}^{-3}$	1.14	0.54
Min. peak in final diff. map/e $\text{\AA}^{-3}$	-1.02	-0.43